Exhibit D

| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|--------|---|
| 2 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION |
| 3 | HONORABLE A. HOWARD MATZ, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 4 | |
| 5 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) |
| 6 | Plaintiff,) Case No. |
| 7 | vs.) CR 10-1031-AHM |
| 8 9 | (2) ANGELA MARIA GOMEZ AGUILAR,) (3) LINDSEY MANUFACTURING COMPANY,) CERTIFIED COPY (4) KEITH E. LINDSEY, and) |
| 10 | (5) STEVE K. LEE,) |
| 11 | Defendants.) |
| 12 | |
| 13 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF |
| 14 | JURY TRIAL - DAY 4 |
| 15 | <i>VOLUME 1 (Pages 726 - 779)</i> |
| 16 | THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2011 |
| 17 | 8:00 A.M. |
| 18 | LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | VICTORIA L. VALINE, CSR 3036, RMR, CRR |
| 24 | FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 312 NORTH SPRING STREET, ROOM 440 |
| 25 | LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 www.victoriavalinecsr.com |
| | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE PLAINTIFF: |
| 4 | ANDRÉ BIROTTE, JR. |
| 5 | United States Attorney BY: Douglas Miller, |
| 6 | Assistant United States Attorney United States Courthouse |
| 7 | 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 213-894-2434 |
| 8 | 213-094-2434 |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FRAUD SECTION, CRIMINAL DIVISION |
| 10 | BY: Nicola Mrazek, Assistant United States Attorney |
| 11 | Jeffrey A. Goldberg, Assistant United States Attorney |
| 12 | 1400 New York Avenue, NW, 6th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005 |
| 13 | 202-353-3462 |
| 14 | FOR THE DEFENDANT (2) ANGELA MARIA GOMEZ AGUILAR: |
| 15 | GIRARDI KEESE Attorneys at Law |
| 16 | BY: Stephen G. Larson, Esq. Molly B. Weber, Esq. |
| 17 | 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 |
| 18 | 213-977-0211 |
| 19 | |
| 20 | FOR THE DEFENDANT (3) LINDSEY MANUFACTURING COMPANY & (4) KEITH E. LINDSEY: |
| 21 | GREENBERG TRAURIG |
| 22 | Attorneys at Law BY: Jan L. Handzlik, Esq. |
| 23 | Grace Tse, Esq. 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400-E |
| 24 | Santa Monica, California 90404 310-586-6542 |
| 25 | |
| | |

```
1
                  HAYES EMPLOYMENT LAW PRACTICE
                  BY: Matthew B. Hayes, Esq.
 2
                  225 South Lake Avenue, Suite 300
                  Pasadena, California 91101
 3
                  626-344-8530
 4
 5
       FOR THE DEFENDANT (5) STEVE K. LEE:
 6
                  CROWELL & MORING
                  Attorneys at Law
 7
                       Janet I. Levine, Esq.
                       Martinique Busino, Esq.
 8
                  515 South Flower Street, 40th Floor
                  Los Angeles, California 90071
 9
                  213-622-4750
10
11
12
       Spanish Language Interpreters:
13
                  Elisa Cabal
                  Virginia Dicono
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
             LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, APRIL 7, 2011
 2
                                  8:00 A.M.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome. Please be
 5
      seated.
 6
              We're here on the Aquilar case. The lawyers are
 7
      present and so are the individual defendants. We don't have
 8
      to call the case at the moment.
 9
              I've instructed my law clerk to tell the jurors to go
10
      get a cup of coffee, because it's going to take a little bit
11
      of time to go through the matters that are raised by the
12
      materials that I was handed this morning, which I have
13
      finished reading, including Exhibits 778 and 779.
14
              I know the defendants have objections to the
15
      government's proffer, and it may be appropriate to hear that,
16
      but it may not even be necessary. So, would you go to the
17
      lectern please, Ms. Mrazek.
18
              You prepared the offer of proof, I take it?
19
              MS. MRAZEK: I did, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. So, I want to ask you some
21
      questions about it. It's possible -- I'll just say this
22
     preliminarily, that some of the questions about this
23
     witness -- and he's excluded from the courtroom, right? He's
24
      not in the courtroom?
25
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Maybe some of
 2
      this came up yesterday, but I couldn't follow where you were
 3
      going or what he was talking about yesterday.
 4
              And my starting concern about this offer of proof is
 5
      that no juror can possibly follow it in the context of this
 6
      case either. But it's at least in writing, so I can try to
 7
      figure out what you think is admissible.
 8
              So, here are some of the questions. You say on
 9
      Page 1 -- now, I recall that this witness identified
10
      Moreno --
11
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: -- by his picture.
13
              Have you elicited any testimony from this witness
14
      that he dealt directly with Moreno?
15
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: What did he say?
17
              MS. MRAZEK: He said that he had met Mr. Moreno in
18
      the late Nineties, and that he had had interactions with him,
19
      but we had not gotten into the individual conversations
20
      concerning these contracts.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to be eliciting from
22
     this information sworn testimony that he paid a bribe to
23
     Moreno?
24
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
25
              The way that the bribe was paid was not directly, so
```

```
1
      he'll testify that he spoke to Mr. Moreno's right-hand man, a
 2
      person who he identified yesterday as Carlos Rovira. And
 3
      Mr. Rovira explained that the way that the bribes would be
 4
      passed, was that there were going to be false invoices from
 5
      two companies Obras Martimas and Sorvill International. And
 6
      then, that money was going to be passed along to multiple
 7
      government officials at CFE, including Nester Moreno.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. So, that's what he would say
 9
      Rovira told him.
10
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. He --
11
              THE COURT: It sounds like it's hearsay,
12
      intrinsically. And then, what will he say about what he
13
      did --
14
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --
15
              THE COURT: -- this witness, that has something to do
16
     with any of the defendants in this case -- or perhaps their
17
     unindicted co-conspirators, Moreno and Hernandez, that has
18
      something to do with this case?
19
             MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Where is the link to this case?
21
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the link to this case, with
22
     regard to this testimony, is that Mr. Basurto will explain
23
     what Sorvill International was.
24
              THE COURT: What will he say?
25
             MS. MRAZEK: He will say that Sorvill International
```

```
1
      was a company that was used to pass bribes to Nester Moreno.
 2
      He will say that there --
 3
              THE COURT:
                         Is there any evidence in this case that
 4
      Sorvill International was used to pay bribes to CFE? Bribes
 5
      that are linked in some way to the Lindsey defendants?
 6
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, there are these wire
 7
      transfers that occur at Lindsey Manufacturing to Grupo
 8
      International in 2002, two wires of approximately $174,000
 9
      each. After that money is transferred into Grupo
10
      International's Global Financial brokerage account, Angela
11
      Aguilar signs a wire transfer authorization for $145,000 to
12
      be sent to Sorvill's bank account overseas. The same bank
13
      account where the ABB money that was bribes also went.
14
              Attached to this wire transfer authorization is a
15
      Sorvill invoice that Ms. Aquilar references in her wire
16
      transfer authorization. This Sorvill invoice, the government
17
      will argue, appears to be false on its face, and the United
18
      States --
19
              THE COURT: In what respects?
20
              MS. MRAZEK: The invoice purports --
21
              THE COURT: Do you have the invoice as one of the
22
     Government's Exhibits?
23
              MS. MRAZEK: It is, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Could you tell me which number it is,
25
     please?
```

```
1
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: And while you're searching for that
 3
      number, I think it's correct to say that in previous
 4
      proceedings it was established that there is no money,
 5
      whatsoever -- no evidence of any money whatsoever being sent
      from any Lindsey defendant to Sorvill, correct?
 6
 7
              MS. MRAZEK: Not directly, your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: So, even if this money from Lindsey to
 9
      Grupo, which was linked to near contemporaneous or --
10
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: -- contemporaneous payments to Sorvill,
12
      even if that's attributed to LMC, what's the link?
13
              Do you think that the false invoices that were
14
      used -- invoices on Sorvill documents for the ABB trade were
15
      identical to the invoices that Sorvill sent to Grupo?
16
              MS. MRAZEK: Very similar, your Honor, not identical.
17
              THE COURT: And then what? What follows from that?
18
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, that account was used as
19
     bribe proceeds for Nester Moreno and other CFE officials.
20
              THE COURT: Which account?
21
             MS. MRAZEK: This Dresdner bank account that both
22
     Sorvill invoices -- both the one that Angela Aguilar attached
23
     to a wire transfer authorization, and the ones that Basurto
24
     participated in making and presenting to network
25
     management -- both of those invoices provide payment to the
```

```
1
      same account.
 2
              THE COURT: To --
 3
              MS. MRAZEK: To this Dresdner bank account in the
 4
      name of Sorvill, over which Ms. Aguilar is the signatory.
 5
              THE COURT: And then what evidence do you have that
      money was transferred out of this Dresdner account to a
 6
 7
      Mexican public official?
 8
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, out of that account there's
 9
      a transfer to pay for Nester Moreno's son's military
10
      education at St. John's Military Academy in Wisconsin.
11
              THE COURT: And when was that done?
12
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, 2004.
13
              THE COURT: And what's the connection to that to this
14
      case?
15
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the bribery in this case is
16
      alleged to have occurred from 2002 to 2008.
17
              THE COURT: But that transaction, about some
18
      education at a school in Wisconsin is not alleged in this
19
     case, right?
20
              MS. MRAZEK: That particular overt act is not alleged
21
     in the First Superseding Indictment.
22
              THE COURT: And were you planning to try to prove it?
23
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, we do have the exhibits from
24
     St. John's Military Academy on the exhibit list, as well as
25
     the information from Dresdner Bank, which the defendants have
```

```
1
      said is both authentic and falls under 3505 in terms of the
 2
      foreign business records of regularly conducted activity
 3
      business record exception.
 4
              THE COURT: Where is this invoice that I asked you to
 5
      find? What's the number, please?
 6
              MS. MRAZEK: The invoice is in Government's Exhibit
 7
      434.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. 434, in the set that I've been
 9
      presented, is a several-page document. Can you tell me what
10
      the Bates number is that --
11
              MS. MRAZEK: It's the last page of the exhibit, your
12
      Honor. The Bates number is SEC ABB LTD 5368.
13
              THE COURT: Ms. Levine, would you be seated, please.
14
              MS. LEVINE: Oh, I'm sorry, your Honor. I wasn't
15
      standing to talk. I was just not paying attention, your
16
     Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. What's the invoice number 5368?
18
              MS. MRAZEK: 5368, your Honor. It's the last page of
19
     the exhibit.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm looking at that document.
21
     And you're saying that that document, which appears to be an
22
     invoice for 145,000, is linked to something that Lindsey did;
23
     and where is the document showing a Lindsey transfer to
24
     Grupo?
25
             MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, there are two documents with
```

```
1
      those transfers, and there's actually multiple documents that
 2
      support them. Government's Exhibits 480 and 481 are both
 3
      incoming wire details for Lindsey Manufacturing into this
 4
      account.
 5
              THE COURT: Into which account? The Sorvill account?
 6
      No --
 7
              MS. MRAZEK: No.
 8
              THE COURT: -- the Grupo account?
 9
              MS. MRAZEK: Into the Grupo account.
10
              THE COURT: And what are the dates?
11
              MS. MRAZEK: The dates are August 1st, 2002, and
12
      August 5th, 2002.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. 21 days and 17 days apart from
14
      this invoice.
15
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: So, your theory will be that all of this
17
      is relevant and probative to show that the means in which
18
      this witness would say, through convoluted testimony as best
19
      as I can understand it -- this witness meaning Basurto -- a
20
     bribe involving ABB was paid at a different time by different
21
     bribers, has some similarity, in the sense that there was an
22
      invoice involved in that case from Sorvill to -- and in that
23
      respect -- and I take it only that respect, there's a
24
      similarity to this case because of this invoice from Sorvill
25
      to Grupo. Did I get it straight?
```

```
1
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, yes. There's a few
 2
      additional details that I would add.
 3
              THE COURT: Tell me what they are.
 4
              MS. MRAZEK: One of those details is that Mr. Basurto
 5
      would testify that the Sorvill invoices that he helped create
 6
      were false. And we would argue that this invoice --
 7
              THE COURT: Did he use a computer to create invoices
 8
      on Sorvill that Sorvill had nothing to do with creating?
 9
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, it was a group effort by the
10
      conspirators. The information about what the invoice was
11
      purportedly for, was formulated with the general manager of
12
      ABB.
           The --
13
              THE COURT: Yes. But what is Basurto going to say
14
      about Enrique Aquilar working in some manner to create a
15
      Sorvill International phony invoice for the ABB transaction?
16
              What's he going to say about Enrique Aquilar?
17
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, Mr. Basurto will testify
18
     that Mr. Aquilar is the owner of Sorvill, and that his
19
      signature appears on the Sorvill -- one of the Sorvill
20
      invoices the United States intends to introduce today.
21
              He will further testify --
22
              THE COURT: One of the Sorvill invoices that were
23
     presented to whom?
24
             MS. MRAZEK: Were presented to ABB Network
25
     Management.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He will further testify that he had conversations with Mr. Carlos Rovira, the right-hand man of Nester Moreno, who would explain that Nester -- that Sorvill International and Enrique Aguilar were one of the companies that Nester Moreno had chosen to receive bribe payments. THE COURT: And why is that not hearsay? MS. MRAZEK: Because it's a co-conspirator statement, your Honor. THE COURT: By whom? MS. MRAZEK: It's a co-conspirator statement by Mr. Rovira, who was engaged in a conspiracy with Mr. Basurto, and the general manager of ABB, Mr. Nester Moreno, and --THE COURT: I'm talking about in this case. That may have been admissible in a case against Basurto. MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --THE COURT: Why? You haven't even identified Carlos Rovira as a co-conspirator in this case. Now, tell me why it's not hearsay in this case? MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the co-conspirator exception does not have to be the same conspiracy as the conspiracy charged in the Indictment. As long as it is a criminal statement and the conspiracy, enough foundation is laid for, that it becomes an admissible statement. THE COURT: You seem to be asking me to make a ruling akin to a ruling under 104 or 106, that a statement made

```
1
      years ago by Carlos Rovira -- who was not a defendant or a
 2
      co-conspirator in this case -- to Fernando Basurto -- who is
 3
      not a defendant or a co-conspirator in this case -- is
 4
      admissible in this case as a co-conspirator exception?
 5
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: So, the logic of that is, any statement
 7
      made in furtherance of conspiracy --
 8
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, if I may --
9
              THE COURT: -- that members of the Aryan Brotherhood
10
      engaged in, is admissible in a conspiracy that members of the
11
      Mexican Mafia engaged in, because both involve killing prison
12
      inmates who were white.
13
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, if I may?
14
              THE COURT: Is -- is there any difference in the
15
      analogy that I drew and what you're trying to do here?
16
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Tell me what it is, please.
18
              MS. MRAZEK: The difference there is relevant.
19
      the same account with commingled funds from two different
20
      sources were used to bribe CFE officials.
21
              The United States has charged a money laundering
22
     conspiracy and a substantive count of money laundering, and
23
     proving that the money that came into this account was from
24
     illegal proceeds is -- is part of one of the elements of the
25
     United States' burden of proof.
```

1 THE COURT: Without even needing or inviting any 2 objection by any defendant to that aspect of this proffer, 3 I'm ruling it inadmissible. 4 Your explanation is totally unpersuasive and lacking 5 in merit. You're not going to be permitted, regardless of 6 what I decide on this overall story that you're telling me 7 here, to ask this witness, Basurto, about questions he had 8 with Rovira -- or whatever the guy's name is -- that will 9 then permit you to point to, or your colleagues, as evidence 10 of the conspiracy in this case or the bribe in this case. 11 is preposterous. I don't even invite or need further 12 argument on that. 13 So, my question on Page 1, did you present the 14 SITRACEN and Evergreen contracts, which have nothing to do 15 with this case, except for what you perceive to be a similar 16 way of bribing Nester Moreno, are those in evidence? 17 MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, Mr. Basurto testified as to 18 those two contracts yesterday. 19 THE COURT: Are they in evidence? 20 MS. MRAZEK: No, your Honor. The physical --21 THE COURT: Were you planning to offer them in 22 evidence? 23 MS. MRAZEK: No, your Honor. The only --24 THE COURT: So, you want to be able to establish that 25 the bribes in -- the alleged bribes in this case were really

bribes -- even though there's nothing on their face that would signify to a reader that they look like bribes, at least some of the documents -- because that was true in a different case, and it was true in a different case -- that is to say there were documents that on their face looked kosher, but weren't, and a jury can take that into account because of what Basurto says, without comparing the documents?

MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, we would be happy to introduce the actual contracts, but we were trying to limit the amount of evidence concerning ABB.

THE COURT: So, it all comes down to using Basurto's story about what happened, to the extent it's comprehensible, in the ABB case, as a basis to prove what happened in this case?

MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the defendants' arguments about what happened at CFE imply that bribery was impossible under the circumstances. That given how these contracts were obtained, where they were obtained, who obtained them, what they looked like, that there could not have been bribery. That the -- these products were superior products. At times they were the only product. And the United States would like to put on evidence to rebut that defense.

THE COURT: Let's see if the defense has made, as a defense, as opposed to a challenge, as to some of the

witnesses you put on.

This is so confusing, so remote, parts of it so flatly inadmissible, that so far -- in my effort with an open mind, honestly, to see where you're going with this, I'm sure glad I asked you to try to explain it -- I see nothing that passes muster as being admissible evidence on any of the bases for 404(b).

Why don't you have a representative of CFE come in and say, we can't tell whether they're real bribes that are going on necessarily just because documents look kosher?

MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, under Mexican law, Mexican officials are not allowed to come and testify in U.S. court. So, in order to do that, we would have to do a Rule 15 deposition, and frankly, there was no time.

THE COURT: Ah, that seems to have been what's plaguing the government team throughout. I've had some silent views about that for quite awhile. There may not have been any time, but that doesn't mean there wasn't any opportunity.

So, you want to get around the pressures of time and the rules of evidence by establishing a response to the defendants' position that nothing looked unusual, or phony, or bribe-laden, through Basurto, who will say that he was told the same thing, or managed to get away with the same thing, on two utterly different contracts. It won't work.

1 MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --2 THE COURT: It won't work. 3 Now, let's go to Page 2. Basurto's expected to 4 testify that Enrique Aguilar is the owner of Sorvill. 5 What's the foundation for that? 6 MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, he received an invoice from 7 Sorvill as part of the conspiracy, that said that it was from 8 Enrique Aguilar. It was signed from Enrique Aguilar. And he 9 understood from the other conspirators that it was from 10 Enrique Aquilar. 11 THE COURT: From the other conspirators in his case? 12 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I could see no barrier to your 14 presenting a witness with a check that comes from a company 15 that appears to have involved Aguilar, and to establish who 16 signed the check or whose name is on the signature line of 17 the check, that's admissible. 18 You got the same problem, once again, with trying to 19 prove ownership through Basurto. If Basurto came in here and 20 said, over a cup of coffee Aguilar told me that he owned 21 Sorvill, and that he used Sorvill as a vehicle -- or as a 22 vessel, I should say, through which funds were laundered, 23 that would be admissible. 24 To testify that Aguilar is the owner and prove that, 25 which is itself an indirect way of proving this conspiracy,

```
1
      at best, through the testimony of Basurto, that lacks
 2
      foundation and it lacks an evidentiary basis. So, you can't
 3
      do that.
 4
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --
 5
              THE COURT: And from '98 through 2004, was a company
 6
      used to pass bribes? What's the foundation for Basurto
 7
      testifying to that?
 8
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, he was involved with two of
9
      those bribe payments in 2004. He also --
10
              THE COURT: So, what was he involved in in 1998?
11
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, he was passing bribes --
12
              THE COURT: That involved Sorvill?
13
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
14
              What Mr. Basurto would testify to is that he was
15
     passing bribes to another government official at CFE.
16
     called --
17
              THE COURT: That official being who?
18
              MS. MRAZEK: His name was Jorge Hernandez. A
19
      different CFE official than involved in this case, which is
20
     why I've not discussed that government official in
21
     Mr. Basurto's testimony so far.
22
              He would testify that he called and -- he and his
23
     father called and asked for a summary of the commissions that
24
     they had been paid, because the bribe payments were a part of
25
     the commissions.
```

```
1
              When they received a breakdown of the commissions
 2
      that they had received, they received not only their own
 3
      commissions --
 4
              THE COURT: Who is the they? I'm totally lost.
 5
              MS. MRAZEK: I'm sorry, your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Who are you referring to now?
 7
              MS. MRAZEK: The Basurtos, father and son.
 8
      Mr. Fernando G. Basurto and Fernando Maya Basurto --
 9
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
10
              MS. MRAZEK: -- received a spreadsheet from that work
11
      management. This is one of the Government's Exhibits.
12
              THE COURT: Is it 778 or 779? I have them both up
13
      here.
14
             MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: You told me to look at them and I have.
16
             MS. MRAZEK: Yes. It's Government's Exhibit 779.
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
             MS. MRAZEK: Mr. Basurto would testify that he
19
      received a copy of this spreadsheet from ABB Network
20
     Management.
21
             He would further testify that he -- that he and his
22
     father were surprised by this second column of commissions --
23
     the commissions to Sorvill -- or the payments to Sorvill. He
24
     would further testify that he then -- because later he was
25
     asked to pass bribe payments to Sorvill --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: He was asked to pass bribe payments that
 2
      were being given to which bribee?
 3
              MS. MRAZEK: To Carlos Rovira, Nester Moreno, and
 4
      others at CFE.
 5
              THE COURT: Which others?
 6
              MS. MRAZEK: He would testify that he was told by
 7
      Carlos Rovira that the money would be given to Arturo Nova,
 8
      Arturo Hernandez, Ricardo Sosa, Gustavo Salvador Torres and
 9
      Francisco Holquin, if asked, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Don't you think it will be asked by the
11
      defendants?
12
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, if the defendants would like
13
      to ask those questions, they're free to.
14
              THE COURT: Oh, they're going to ask. Did you ever
15
      deal with Angela Aguilar?
16
              Did you ever deal with Keith Lindsey?
17
              Did you ever deal with Steve Lee?
18
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor, those questions are all
19
      appropriate for this witness.
20
              THE COURT: So, what we're off and running on,
21
     disproving another conspiracy that's not this conspiracy,
22
     that wasn't alleged, that is utterly confusing. So, the link
23
     to this case that you see in this document that has an ABB
24
     Bates number, and has been marked as Exhibit 779 in this
25
     case, is that there is some entries on Sorvill, right?
```

1 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And that proves what? 3 MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, this goes to rebut the 4 government -- the defense's argument that there needs to be a 5 close-in-time and nearly one-to-one link between payments 6 made for the purpose of bribery and contracts received. 7 With the ABB and Evergreen contracts, it was two 8 contracts. And yet the -- both actual bribe payments made 9 and those that were planned, occurred over a series of time, 10 and occurred after those contracts had actually been 11 received. 12 THE COURT: Well, there must be 15 entries on 13 Exhibit 779, which was generated -- or at least obtained and 14 maybe used in this other case that you were the responsible 15 prosecutor for, right? 16 MS. MRAZEK: I am, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And the latest of them -- the first 18 begins in '97, and the latest ends in August 25th of '01, 19 before this conspiracy even was hatched, according to the 20 Indictment. 21 Did the government attempt to bring in -- to your 22 knowledge, Ms. Mrazek, did Carlos Rovira deal with Enrique 23 Aguilar directly? 24 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Is he a witness in this case?

```
1
              MS. MRAZEK: Carlos Rovira? No.
                                                Carlos Rovira is a
 2
      former CFE official. He's no longer with CFE.
 3
              THE COURT: Fine. But why isn't he a witness in this
 4
      case?
 5
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, he's in Mexico. He's,
 6
      frankly, a subject in the other investigation -- a target,
 7
      really -- and the United States doesn't have any control over
 8
      him.
 9
              THE COURT: Did you attempt to take his deposition?
10
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, no. We did not attempt to
11
      take Carlos Rovira's deposition, nor any of the other
12
      conspirators in Mexico.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. And what does Exhibit 778 show me?
14
      You asked me to look at that one, too.
15
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, Government's Exhibit 778 is
16
      the breakdown of bribe payments made during the Evergreen
17
      contract.
18
              What Mr. Basurto would testify to, is that the column
19
      labeled 3WT, for approximately $3 million, was money that was
20
      supposed to go to Carlos Rovira and Nester Moreno and be
21
     passed on to others, as well at CFE, that was to be paid
22
     using Sorvill invoices and invoices from another company.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okav.
24
              MS. MRAZEK: This demonstrates too, that the plan for
25
     passing these bribe payments occurred over a long period of
```

time, and was not simply a one-time bribe payment to obtain a contract, but instead was a series of payments.

THE COURT: And that's relevant to prove what in this case?

MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, it's relevant to rebut the defense's defense that there needs to be a strict correlation between the time that bribes were paid and the time that those bribes are passed on.

THE COURT: If that's their contention, perhaps you're right that it is. This is an absolutely remote, at best, way of proving that in the real world of bribery that may go on in Mexico, it doesn't have to be that the bribe is hatched, and completed, and the documents reflected within a day, or a week, or a year, it could be a delay.

This is a different case, Ms. Mrazek. These are different bribers, different bribees, different contracts, different time periods. If this is the only way that the government can address that part of the defendants' defense, then maybe you and your colleagues should evaluate where you are in this case, because that's not a way you're going to be allowed to use, and that isn't even a way that makes any fundamental sense.

You have to understand that the defendants moved to limit the government's proof on this -- and I reserved a ruling -- and then all of a sudden Basurto is on the stand,

and I was absolutely puzzled, at best, what was going on. I tried to give you guidance yesterday about how you could get passed simple things.

This explanation would permit such a massive level of confusion, delay, likely prejudice, evidentiary objections that almost certainly would be valid and probably sustained. Under 403 itself there's a very palpable, immediate basis to exclude it. Under 404(b), I find the same thing is true.

This isn't about this case. Congratulations to you for securing a conviction for Mr. Basurto, but you're not going to prove your case against his co-conspirators in this case. And you're certainly not going to prove the case against the people alleged to be co-conspirators and defendants in this case, through that case. That's not the way it's done. So --

MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- proceed with Basurto accordingly.

There is a limited amount of information that he has a percipient basis has to testify to. He could not testify in this case to what you say on Page 3, "Basurto is expected to testify about the criminal conversations he had with Carlos Rovira," who once again is not a defendant in this case, not a co-conspirator in this case, not a witness in this case, not even a name I ever heard of until yesterday. And I've been working, along with the lawyers, quite hard on

this case for quite sometime.

You want him to testify in this case that he had conversations with Rovira, which is his basis of his knowledge, that Arturo Hernandez -- who is not a defendant in this case -- was also receiving funds. That's hearsay.

And then you want to get into plastic surgery and hair plugs? I mean --

MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- I thought you were joking when you got to that in this -- I -- I don't mean to minimize. You're doing your best you can with what little you have,

Ms. Mrazek. But, for Basurto to explain Nester Moreno's obvious physical transformation during their acquaintance due to plastic surgery and hair plugs...

Footnote 3, the government will later introduce evidence that Angela Aguilar knew Nester Moreno over many years, and argue that these obvious expensive changes -- okay. So, to put -- would demonstrate to her -- this defendant in this case, Angela Aguilar -- that Nester Moreno had means incommensurate to the civil service position that she knew he held. This evidence is probative of her knowledge.

Honestly, you've got to be kidding. You're going to introduce evidence as to how much the hair plugs cost, and what the going rate for hair plugs is in Mexico, and whether

```
1
      a civil servant could afford it, and therefore have hair
 2
      plugs without triggering a suspicion of bribe payments?
 3
      that how you're going to prove that?
 4
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, Mr. Basurto would testify
 5
      that the plastic surgery and the hair plugs occurred in the
 6
      United States.
 7
              THE COURT: Are you going to prove how much they
 8
      cost?
 9
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the government would be
10
      happy to.
11
              THE COURT: Yeah? What would this proof be?
12
              MS. MRAZEK: We're not planning on introducing this
13
      evidence, your Honor, but we have evidence of the payments
14
      for Nester Moreno's hair plugs.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. So, you have to -- in order to get
16
      into this as you're explaining you have a right to do in
17
      Footnote 3, you've got to prove knowledge by Angela Aquilar
18
      that Nester Moreno was a recipient of bribes in this case,
19
     because he couldn't afford to have -- to pay for the hair
20
     plugs he purchased or had done -- I don't even know what they
21
     are to tell you the truth -- in the United States. Right?
22
              So then, you're going to prove what his civil service
23
     salary was?
24
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, we could seek to prove that.
25
     We have information --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: You're going to prove what his bank
 2
      accounts consisted of?
 3
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, we don't have access to all
 4
      of Nester Moreno's bank accounts.
 5
              THE COURT: Suppose he had a rich uncle who wanted
 6
      him to be the handsomest man on the block and paid for it. I
 7
      mean, I don't want to belabor this.
 8
              If that is the source of knowledge that you have to
 9
      prove the necessary element of knowledge that Angela Aguilar
10
      was laundering money --
11
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --
12
              THE COURT: -- then I think that should tell you
13
      something, too.
14
              I'm not going to permit you to elicit testimony from
15
      Fernando -- from whatever Basurto's name is -- Fernando, I
16
      think is his name, or from anybody else. You don't say
17
     who -- oh, yes. Basurto. Basurto is going to testify about
18
      conversations with Rovira, and you're going to link it up
19
     with this evidence? 403 would warrant exclusion of that
20
     evidence.
21
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor --
22
              THE COURT: Those are my rulings. Anything further?
23
             MS. MRAZEK: -- to clarify.
24
              THE COURT: Yes, you may.
25
             MS. MRAZEK: With respect to Exhibit 777.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: 777?
 2
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Let me find that. Okay. I have 777.
 4
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the government would still
 5
      seek to admit 777.
 6
              In discussing this exhibit with Mr. Basurto, the
 7
      government will not elicit any information about what he was
 8
      told about this from Carlos Rovira, but Mr. Basurto helped
 9
      prepare this document. He saw this document. He has
10
      personal knowledge of this document. And I would seek to --
11
      permission of the Court to elicit that he used this document
12
      to help pay bribes to CFE.
13
              THE COURT: First of all, I think you're perfectly
      free and entitled to ask him about his dealings with Enrique
14
15
      Aguilar and -- and you're representing that he had some
16
      dealings concerning 777?
17
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, to be clear, he didn't deal
18
      directly with Enrique, because he went and -- the information
19
      was shuttled back and forth through Carlos Rovira.
20
              So, I will not be able to tell you, or to have
21
     Mr. Basurto testify that he had a conversation explicitly
22
     with Enrique Aguilar about this document. However, he
23
      received --
24
              THE COURT: Who -- had this witness -- how did
25
     Mr. Basurto get this document?
```

```
1
              MS. MRAZEK: He went to CFE. He went to Carlos
 2
      Rovira's office. Carlos Rovira was then Nestor Moreno's
 3
      operator. He then picked up this document from Carlos Rovira
 4
      with Mr. Enrique Aquilar's signature already on the document.
 5
      He then took the invoice, and he and his father brought it to
 6
      ABB Network Management for payment.
 7
              THE COURT: And that proves what in this case?
 8
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, it proves that Enrique
 9
      Aguilar, at least signs invoices for Sorvill International.
10
              The government has alleged in its Indictment that
11
      Enrique Aguilar is the owner of Sorvill International.
12
      would be probative of that fact.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. By the way, I -- I really don't
14
      know the answer to this question. Was Enrique Aquilar
15
      indicted in the ABB case?
16
              MS. MRAZEK: No, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Was he a witness -- did the ABB case go
18
     to trial?
19
              MS. MRAZEK: The ABB case is currently scheduled for
20
     trial in May.
21
              THE COURT: And who are the defendants who are going
22
     to proceed to trial in that case?
23
              MS. MRAZEK: The current defendant is the general
24
     manager of ABB.
25
              THE COURT: This is Mr. O'Shea?
```

```
1
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. And is Enrique Aguilar an
 3
      unindicted co-conspirator in that case?
 4
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: And identified as such?
 6
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay.
 8
              MS. MRAZEK: As is Sorvill International.
 9
              THE COURT: So, this document shows that there's a
10
      link between Aguilar and Sorvill, I grant you that. And I
11
      think this witness could certainly testify to that much.
12
              And then having linked Aguilar to Sorvill, what's the
13
      relevance in this case, and the probative value in this case?
14
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, in addition to linking the
15
      two together, Mr. Basurto would say that this document was
16
      used to pay bribes.
17
              THE COURT: Mr. Larson, do you want to be heard about
18
      this exhibit?
19
              MR. LARSON: Just that last point, your Honor.
20
      don't think there's any foundation with this witness that he
21
      can say that Dr. Enrique Aquilar signed this document, as
22
     Ms. Mrazek just said.
23
              Reading from the report of interview, Paragraph 26
24
      from an interview that took place on July 29th, 2009 --
25
              THE COURT: This is an interview of whom?
```

```
1
              MR. LARSON: I'm sorry?
 2
              THE COURT: A report of an interview of whom?
 3
              MR. LARSON: It was the FBI -- it's the IRS
 4
      memorandum of interview on Mr. Basurto.
 5
              THE COURT: Of this witness?
 6
              MR. LARSON: Of this witness.
 7
              THE COURT: It's a 302 of this witness?
              MR. LARSON: It's not a 302, but it's an IRS, but
 8
 9
      yes, same thing.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MR. LARSON: He said as follows, Rovira pointed
12
      Enrique Aguilar out to Basurto when he visited Rovira at his
13
      CFE office. Basurto has had no other interactions with
14
     Mr. Aquilar.
15
              He also wrote, Basurto reviewed an invoice from
16
      Sorvill, et cetera, et cetera. He goes on to say that, the
17
      invoices were created -- Rovira told Basurto that the
      invoices were created so that Rovira, Moreno and other CFE
18
19
      officials could get their money.
20
              There's nothing in any of the 302s or the reports of
21
      interview that suggests, in any way, that this witness ever
22
      interacted with Enrique Aguilar, ever saw him sign an
23
              In fact, he will testify that he created the
24
      invoices at the direction of Rovira. No foundation.
25
     hearsay.
```

1 THE COURT: Who would testify to --2 MR. LARSON: Mr. Basurto will testify that he was 3 ordered to create the invoices. He created these. 4 weren't created by Enrique Aguilar. 5 MS. MRAZEK: No, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. So, I understand Mr. Larson's 7 contention as to whether there's evidence that Enrique 8 Aguilar was actually involved in the preparation of Exhibit 9 777 or signed it. But even so, there's no basis in this case 10 to link Sorvill to the charges in this case, except for the 11 fact, if I followed what you said before, that there were two 12 payments from Lindsey to Grupo, and something like 15 to 21 13 days later, there were two payments from Grupo to Sorvill in 14 close but not identical amounts. 15 Did I get that straight? 16 MS. MRAZEK: Not exactly, your Honor. There was one 17 There were two transfers in, one transfer out. 18 Those two transfers in were the first money ever into the 19 Global Financial account. The one forty-five transfer out of 20 Sorvill was the first transfer out of that account. 21 THE COURT: But you've acknowledged, and I think 22 you -- I don't know if you took the deposition of this Agent 23 Durkin, but there's a lot of money flowing into and out of

the Grupo account, and a lot of payments to Sorvill out of

the Grupo account, right?

24

25

1 MS. MRAZEK: No, your Honor. This was the only 2 payment to Sorvill out of the Grupo account. 3 There's one other link that I think the Court should 4 be aware of that we laid out in the Indictment. Because 5 there are money laundering charges, and because it's 6 important for the government to prove how the yacht was 7 purchased, how these transfers to Arturo Hernandez occurred, 8 the government is putting on proof of those Sorvill bank 9 accounts, because the yacht wasn't only paid for by a check 10 from Global Financial. There were also two wire transfers 11 from Sorvill International authorized by Enrique Aquilar with 12 this same signature that appears on 777. 13 Likewise, just as Angela Aquilar authorizes two wire 14 transfers to family members of Arturo Hernandez, likewise 15 Enrique Aguilar authorizes two wire transfers to the same 16 family members from Sorvill. All of this evidence of the 17 commingling --18 THE COURT: I missed the last part. Say it again, 19 please. 20 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. There are --21 THE COURT: Who authorized two transfers of what to 22 whom? 23 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. 24 Arturo Hernandez has a mother and a brother. Angela 25 Aguilar authorized two wire transfers -- each wire transfer

```
1
      was for a quarter of a million dollars -- to Arturo
 2
      Hernandez -- Arturo Hernandez's mother and Arturo Hernandez's
 3
      brother.
 4
              Thereafter, Enrique Aguilar authorized two wire
 5
      transfers both of $50,000, one to Arturo Hernandez's mother,
 6
      one to Arturo Hernandez's brother.
 7
              The government would then put on financial evidence
 8
      to show --
 9
              THE COURT: These are transfers of money from Grupo?
10
              MS. MRAZEK: Two from Grupo, two from Sorvill to the
11
      same account.
12
              THE COURT: And what's the link to Lindsey?
13
              MS. MRAZEK: Lindsey Manufacturing contributed
14
      roughly 70 percent of the money in the Global Financial
15
      account.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. So, I think there may be an
17
      inference the jury could draw that there was laundering of
18
     bribe payments from Lindsey, at least 70 percent of the
19
      transfers, if it were done mathematically dollar-for-dollar,
20
      right? That's the point?
21
              MS. MRAZEK: Well, your Honor --
22
              THE COURT: There's enough to permit an inference is
23
     what I think you're saying, right?
24
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes. There was more than enough money
25
     contributed by Lindsey Manufacturing to cover all of these
```

```
1
      transfers and more.
 2
              MR. HANDZLIK: Your Honor, I know that -- I'm sorry.
 3
              MS. MRAZEK: Those particular allegations I just
 4
      relayed to your Honor are all overt acts in the First
 5
      Superseding Indictment.
 6
              THE COURT: Which ones?
 7
              MS. MRAZEK: The --
 8
              THE COURT: Give me the numbers of the acts.
 9
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
10
              Your Honor, on Page 13 of the superseding
11
      indictment -- First Superseding Indictment, Overt Act 64 is a
12
      transfer from Sorvill to purchase the yacht. Overt Act 65 --
13
      sorry. Overt -- one second, your Honor.
14
              With respect to the transfers from Sorvill
15
      International's Swiss bank account to the mother and brother
16
      of Arturo Hernandez, those are Overt Acts 73 and 74.
17
              THE COURT: Is there going to be evidence that the
18
      government's going to offer that Arturo Hernandez authorized
19
      payments, or authorized acceptance of the contract proposal
20
      to Lindsey, or was involved in authorizing payments to
21
      Lindsey?
22
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the government's proof will
23
      show that Arturo Hernandez was in charge of CFE at the
      time -- at least until 2007. That he was the Director of
24
25
     Operations, and as Mr. Basurto testified yesterday, the
```

1 Director of Operations had control over all of the operations 2 of CFE throughout the country. 3 In terms of specifically conversations with Arturo 4 Hernandez, the government does not anticipate such evidence. 5 THE COURT: Well, I think you should be permitted to 6 elicit testimony that -- from this witness Basurto that --7 who gave him Exhibit 777? 8 MS. MRAZEK: That was Carlos Rovira, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And --10 MS. MRAZEK: The same is true for Exhibit 776, the 11 other invoice. 12 THE COURT: Pardon me? 13 MS. MRAZEK: There are two invoices. The other one 14 is Government's Exhibit 776. However, that one is not 15 signed. 16 THE COURT: What did this witness say about Carlos 17 Rovira yesterday? 18 MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, Mr. Basurto testified that 19 Carlos Rovira was a CFE employee during the same period that 20 Nester Moreno was a CFE employee. I believe Mr. Moreno -- I 21 believe that there was testimony about Carlos Rovira's first 22 position, which was working with Nester Moreno. 23 I do not believe that I was able to elicit the 24 testimony yet yesterday that Carlos Rovira followed Nester 25 Moreno throughout the various changes in position that Nester

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moreno had at CFE. But he would testify that he was always Nester Moreno's right-hand man and operator, and was the person who Mr. Basurto had to discuss any bribe payments with Nester Moreno in most circumstances. THE COURT: But you're not planning to proffer any evidence that Carlos Rovira had anything to do with the allegations against LMC, except being a colleague of Moreno and maybe a complicit in Moreno's acceptance of bribes involving ABB, right? MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. And given your Honor's earlier ruling, I would not seek to elicit any conversations between these co-conspirators, if that is what your Honor would still like me to do. THE COURT: Yes. That's what I'm ruling. And what is this about 776? MS. MRAZEK: I just wanted to alert your Honor that 776 is another Sorvill invoice that Mr. Basurto also got from Carlos Rovira at Carlos Rovira's office at CFE that he would testify was used to pay bribes. THE COURT: It was used by whom? MS. MRAZEK: It was used by Mr. Basurto, Carlos Rovira, Enrique Aguilar, Nester Moreno, others at CFE, O'Shea -- it was part of the conspiracy, your Honor. THE COURT: So, this is a document that I take it, you're going to use in the trial of O'Shea, and you're going

```
1
      to use Basurto to say, I took this document to ABB and got
 2
      them to pay for it, and then this money was used to grease
 3
      the skids with Rovira, and Hernandez, and maybe Moreno,
 4
      right?
 5
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes. And others, your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: And others.
 7
              So, you're representing to me that this witness is
 8
      going to say he took this document directly in person?
9
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Because the way you started out by
11
      trying to explain all this is to say it was used, but this is
12
      the witness who used it, right?
13
              MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor.
14
              Multiple people -- obviously, he didn't pay the
15
      invoice, because that was done in Network Management.
16
              THE COURT: I've been sitting up here trying to
17
      glance through the transcript of yesterday's session, to the
18
     extent there was testimony about Rovira, which I'm going to
19
     give a cautionary instruction to the jury about.
20
              Did you want to say something, Mr. Larson? Was it
21
     you who stood --
22
              MR. LARSON: I did, your Honor. I don't know what
23
     your ruling is on 777 --
24
              THE COURT: I'll tell you in a minute, but first I'm
25
     giving you a chance to be heard.
```

1 MR. LARSON: Well, my point is that there is 2 absolutely no foundation for this witness to testify that 3 Dr. Enrique Aquilar signed this document. 4 There's no foundation for the last assertion that 5 Ms. Mrazek made that somehow this was used by Enrique 6 Aguilar. Their own witness, Mr. Basurto says that he had no 7 interaction with Dr. Enrique Aquilar. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. LARSON: This is all hearsay and no foundation. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And you're right, but not right in 11 terms of whether or not the correct result is that it be 12 excluded from evidence. 13 You're going to be permitted to introduce 776 and 777 14 with these very explicit limitations. 15 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: As part of the way that you got ABB to 17 issue payments that were used for bribes by -- of people in 18 Mexico, did you use this exhibit? 19 Where did you get it from? He'll say, Rovira. 20 there. 21 Absent any further evidence, there is no way you or 22 any of your colleagues will ever be permitted to argue 23 anything about Enrique Aguilar signing this document or being 24 complicit in that scheme. You're going to have to link it up 25 to Enrique Aguilar differently and directly.

1 And you, in cross-examining this witness, can, if you 2 choose to, make a point of that, saying you don't know who 3 actually prepared this document. You never met Enrique 4 Aguilar in connection with this document. You never talked 5 to him about it. You didn't get it from him, whatever you 6 want to do, you can. 7 MR. LARSON: Yes, your Honor. 8 MS. MRAZEK: Thank you, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Anything else? 10 Now, my ruling is, that 90 percent of what we went 11 through on your proffer is inadmissible for the reasons I 12 said. 13 Now, I -- who first? You, Mr. Handzlik? 14 MR. HANDZLIK: I was going to pop up first, your 15 Honor. 16 I was -- I would like to point out, which I think is 17 now evident to the Court, that what Ms. Mrazek said about the 18 composition of the funds in the Grupo account at the time of 19 the transfers to the mother and the brother, whatever, she 20 said 70 percent of the funds in that account came from 21 Lindsey Manufacturing. 22 As the Court has heard already on an earlier motion, 23 and in looking at Mr. Durkin's analysis of the account, that 24 is -- that is incorrect. And of course your Honor already

stated to Ms. Mrazek that Agent Durkin had done an analysis

25

```
1
      and there was a lot of other funds in the account.
 2
              I say this -- if the Court is permitting what the
 3
      Court's permitting I understand that, and I would like to get
 4
      a clarification on whatever limited use or what instruction
 5
      is going to be given to the jury about this, because it -- if
 6
      it's coming in for any purpose, it has nothing to do with the
 7
      Lindsey defendants. And I assume --
 8
              THE COURT: What limiting instruction are you
9
      requesting me to give?
              MR. HANDZLIK: Well, what we're requesting, and I
10
11
      haven't conferred explicitly with Ms. Levine on this, is that
12
      the testimony of this witness is limited to Angela Aquilar
13
      and should not be considered against Dr. Lindsey, Mr. Lee,
14
      and Lindsey Manufacturing. That's what we request.
15
              I was actually addressing another point. It really
16
      goes, I guess, to the weight, and we're prepared to show that
17
      it was much -- I mean it wasn't 70 percent Lindsey money
18
      in -- that's different.
19
              THE COURT: That you'll have an opportunity to show.
20
      I don't make a finding as to what percent it was. I'm just
21
     aware of what Mr. Durkin's analysis in general concluded.
22
              Anything further, Mr. Handzlik?
23
              MR. HANDZLIK: No, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Ms. Levine?
25
              MS. LEVINE: Thank you, your Honor. There are a few
```

```
1
      points I'd like to make.
 2
              First, it is clear that testimony has absolutely
 3
      nothing to do with Mr. Lee. Extraordinarily prejudicial.
 4
      And the amount of time this is going to take, your Honor,
 5
      Ms. Mrazek says she hasn't had time to prepare.
 6
              What I have in court are 108 letters sent starting --
 7
      she didn't get all of them, but starting May 11th, 2007 from
 8
      the ABB lawyers with the proffers in this case, and the --
 9
              THE COURT: In which case?
10
              MS. LEVINE: In her ABB case. 108 different letters
11
      proffering information to her starting -- she wasn't there
12
      for the first --
13
              THE COURT: Okay. I don't -- I don't know what
14
      you're getting into, but I don't need to know the details on
15
      who was there and when.
16
             MS. LEVINE: Your Honor --
17
             THE COURT: Somebody handed me --
18
             MS. LEVINE: I did that, your Honor. The e-mail?
19
              THE COURT: Yes. An e-mail from Ms. Mrazek to,
20
      apparently, a lawyer for Basurto. I don't know which
21
     Basurto.
22
             MS. LEVINE: This Basurto, your Honor. That was
23
     produced to us after I -- as Brady material after being
24
     produced to the Court in camera.
25
             And that's the second problem, is that it appears on
```

```
1
      the face of it that this witness knows nothing whatsoever, as
 2
      Ms. Mrazek admits, about our company --
 3
              THE COURT: Let the record reflect that what
 4
      Ms. Levine is referring to in this e-mail, which is Bates
 5
      stamped in camera five zeros 8 is --
 6
              MS. LEVINE: Yes, your Honor. In a --
 7
              THE COURT: -- is a statement that says from
 8
      Ms. Mrazek to some lawyer representing this witness, Basurto,
9
      that he, Basurto, doesn't know anything about the corrupt
10
      company directly involved in the L.A. case, Lindsey
11
     Manufacturing.
12
              Okay. Anything else?
13
              MS. LEVINE: And that it's sent October 10th, before
14
     Mr. Lee was charged in this case. It's offering -- it's
15
      telling Mr. Basurto what information is going to be
16
      requested, knowing he doesn't know it. Offering him
17
      cooperation credit to come up with some information to
18
      testify --
19
              THE COURT: All right. Well, that's
20
      cross-examination. I -- I can see what it says in here.
21
              What does it have to do with what we're trying to do
22
     here about determining rules of evidence?
23
              MS. LEVINE: It's a -- your Honor --
24
              THE COURT: Do you join in Mr. Handzlik's request for
25
     a limiting instruction?
```

```
1
              MS. LEVINE: Absolutely, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. What's your response to that
 3
      request?
 4
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, this evidence -- I think
 5
      that such a limiting instruction should be crafted carefully,
 6
      because --
 7
              THE COURT: And what would you like it to say?
 8
              MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, if I may -- may I have
 9
      moment?
10
              THE COURT: Yes.
11
              MR. HANDZLIK: Your Honor, just to be clear on the
12
      record. By discussing the -- and requesting a limiting
13
      instruction, I was not waiving any argument that the Lindsey
14
      defendants have with 403, 404, relevance, anything.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. I understand.
16
             MR. HANDZLIK: Thank you.
17
              MR. LARSON: Your Honor, Mrs. Aquilar also has
18
      concerns about this limiting instruction that you're being
19
      asked to proffer.
20
              My position, of course, was that it has nothing to do
21
     with Enrique Aguilar, because there is no foundation. There
22
     is not even a remote connection of this to Mrs. Angela
23
     Aguilar, and I'm concerned about you telling the jury, with
24
     the force of the Court, that this is to be considered --
25
              THE COURT: I don't have any force in this courtroom
```

1 anymore, but anyway go ahead. 2 MR. LARSON: I -- anyways. I won't comment, but I do 3 think that there's a real problem by highlighting the purpose 4 of this evidence. 5 MS. MRAZEK: Your Honor, the government's concern is 6 that whatever limiting instruction should still allow the 7 jury to consider this evidence as probative of the overt acts 8 that are alleged in the Indictment, and the general 9 allegation about Sorvill. So, I think that --10 THE COURT: A general allegation meaning what 11 allegation? 12 MS. MRAZEK: That Sorvill is a company that was used 13 as a sales -- it was a sales representation company with bank 14 accounts in Germany and Switzerland. And who controls the 15 Sorvill account. 16 So, whatever the limiting instruction, I think that 17 it's fine to have a limiting instruction, appropriate to have 18 a limiting instruction that ABB is not Lindsey Manufacturing, 19 it's not SBB. That Mr. Basurto is not testifying that he 20 ever passed any bribes to -- from Lindsey Manufacturing to 21 any CFE officials, but the importance of understanding what 22 Sorvill is and that it is Mr. Sorvill -- Mr. Enrique 23 Aguilar's company, is relevant evidence. 24 THE COURT: He hasn't proven it's Mr. Aguilar's 25 company. It's being offered to try to prove it. I'm not

1 going to instruct them what it proves. 2 MS. MRAZEK: Yes, your Honor. I'm not saying that 3 you should instruct the jury about what it proves. I'm 4 simply suggesting, that the Court offer a limiting 5 instruction that goes more centrally to the defendants' 6 concern that there not be confusion that Mr. Basurto is 7 testifying that he helped in any way pass bribes from Lindsey 8 Manufacturing. 9 THE COURT: What's the full name of Sorvill? 10 MS. MRAZEK: Sorvill International SA. 11 (Pause in the proceedings.) 12 MR. MILLER: Your Honor may I step out for a moment 13 to use the bathroom? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 All right. This is what I'm going to say. It may 16 not come out precisely in these words, because I just 17 scribbled something. 18 This witness, Mr. Basurto, has testified about his 19 role in an entirely different conspiracy concerning a company 20 known as ABB. This case doesn't involve ABB. 21 I have instructed the prosecution to go no further in 22 attempting to elicit testimony about Mr. Basurto's role in 23 the ABB matter. 24 The sole basis for allowing further testimony from 25 Mr. Basurto will be whether he has admissible -- whether he

has evidence of the role that Sorvill International SA may -- allegedly played in this case.

Although the defense lawyers will be permitted to cross-examine Mr. Basurto about yesterday's testimony, I instruct you that the only issues that you may take that testimony -- only issues that you may -- only issues that that testimony is relevant to -- may be relevant to, are limited to the roles that Sorvill International and Enrique Aguilar are alleged to have played in this case. I'll repeat it.

I'm going to tell them what he testified about yesterday about ABB. That this case doesn't involve ABB. That I instructed the prosecution to go no further in attempting to elicit testimony about what he did in the ABB case.

That he will be permitted to continue testifying solely about the role that Sorvill and Enrique Aguilar allegedly played in this case.

The defense lawyers will be permitted to cross-examine about yesterday's testimony, but the only issues that the testimony may be relevant to, are those limited to the roles that Sorvill and Enrique Aguilar are alleged to have played in this case. All right.

Yes, Mr. Handzlik?

MR. HANDZLIK: Your Honor, the Court has not

1 addressed, so far, the assertion of Ms. Mrazek that, 2 notwithstanding the limiting instruction that you've just 3 crafted, that they be permitted to eventually argue to the 4 jury with respect to Overt Acts 73 and 74, that those overt 5 acts were in furtherance of the conspiracy that involves 6 Lindsey Manufacturing --7 THE COURT: I'm not going to preclude them from 8 arguing that. Let's see what they link up between Sorvill. 9 MR. HANDZLIK: There is no Sorvill part of this case, 10 your Honor. There is no Sorvill --11 THE COURT: That's overstating it, Mr. Handzlik. I'm 12 not going to preclude evidence that could be relevant to 13 prove Overt Acts 73 and 74. There may not be such evidence, 14 but that remains to be seen. 15 MR. HANDZLIK: But, your Honor the only -- sorry. 16 THE COURT: So, what are you asking me to do on this 17 instruction? 18 MR. HANDZLIK: Well, we're asking you -- and we had 19 asked you earlier -- to preclude the testimony of this 20 witness because it doesn't relate to these defendants, the 21 Lindsey defendants. 22 THE COURT: That's not a correct conclusion to draw 23 at this phase. Maybe at a later phase. 24 The government hasn't rested. I don't know what 25 their full case is. It's been a very confusing case thus

1 far, but I'm not going to preclude them from trying to prove 2 those overt acts. So, I don't think I need further argument 3 from either side on that. 4 MR. HANDZLIK: I understand that, your Honor, but I 5 would like to make the record on this. 404 says acts of the 6 accused, character of the accused. 7 The evidence that they intend to admit regarding 8 Sorvill and these other things, is the most odious kind of 9 404(b) evidence, because they're going to seek to use the 10 actions of other people, and their intent or character, 11 whatever, against these defendants. 12 THE COURT: No. They're going to try to use evidence 13 of Grupo and Sorvill to establish how the money was 14 laundered, and how the payments made their way to the corrupt 15 officials. And that's relevant. 16 I don't want to argue about this. I'm not precluding 17 you from having later instructions, limiting instructions, 18 from arguing about what the evidence shows or as to whom, if 19 anyone, it shows anything, but I don't think I have to deal 20 with that now. 21 MR. HANDZLIK: Your Honor --22 THE COURT: So, you've made your record. I haven't 23 precluded you from further --24 MR. HANDZLIK: Your Honor, may I please make one 25 more -- I mean, I thought that, quite frankly, that begging

```
1
      and whining and pleading was the province of the defense not
 2
      the prosecution. So, I'm going to revert to type here, your
 3
      Honor, and say, what money? What money of Lindsey goes to
 4
      Sorvill that's alleged to be part of this case? Zero. None.
 5
              The -- she cited --
 6
              THE COURT: Make that argument when the case is over.
7
      If there's no link between the monies that Lindsey paid to
8
      Grupo, and Grupo paid to Sorvill, then I'll throw the case
9
     out on a Rule 29. It is not timely to be battering me with
10
     this now.
11
              MR. HANDZLIK: I don't intend to batter the Court at
12
      all. I intend to make a record. 403 --
13
              THE COURT: You've made your record.
14
             MR. HANDZLIK: 403 --
15
             THE COURT: You've made your record.
16
             MR. HANDZLIK: Thank you, your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, we've been going for almost
18
     an hour -- more than an hour.
19
             Yes, Ms. Levine?
20
             MS. LEVINE: Just briefly, your Honor. Given the
21
     nature of the questioning yesterday, I worry that
22
     Ms. Mrazek's questioning will lend a place for this witness
23
     to say things, and I don't want to jump up and say something
24
     that's overbearing, but I do want to have some way to
25
     object --
```

1 THE COURT: So, are you asking me to instruct the 2 prosecution to tell the witness what to avoid? 3 MS. LEVINE: Both that, your Honor, and some 4 objection that would be clear of what I'm objecting to 5 without saying, objecting based on what the Court ruled. 6 Some sort of way to convey that, because the dynamic that was 7 going on here suggests that there's been, and in the 302s, a 8 lot of discussion between Ms. Mrazek and the witness. And I 9 know that they've had a long-sustaining witness cooperator 10 relationship, and I fear that. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I now instruct the government in 12 plain language -- and Basurto was limiting his answers 13 yesterday. He didn't -- he didn't volunteer information that 14 was narrative or prejudicial. But you tell him that whatever 15 he was prepared to testify about -- 98 percent of it, 16 probably, I'm just guessing -- is out of bounds. It won't be 17 permissible, and don't -- I'm instructing you not to get into 18 it. 19 Now, let's use the time, because we have to break at 20 12 -- although in the end this may have been a time saver, 21 because this could have taken hours, and hours, and hours to 22 struggle through before I could understand what, if any, 23 connection it has. It has zero. 24 So, that's going to be the gist of my instruction.

Both sides, depending on the outcome of this case, of course,

25

```
1
      have rights of appeal. Or to uphold rulings, depending on
 2
      the outcome.
 3
              I don't need more argument at this phase. So, let's
 4
      bring the jurors in, please.
 5
              MS. LEVINE: Your Honor, can I have two minutes to
 6
      run down the hall though?
 7
              THE COURT: All right.
 8
              MS. LEVINE: Thank you, your Honor.
9
              MR. HANDZLIK: I'm going to take the same
10
      opportunity.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
12
              MR. HANDZLIK: Well, it may be -- it may be more
13
      like --
14
              THE COURT: All of you should. We'll take a
15
      five-minute break.
16
              MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, during the break, we'll
17
      instruct the witness.
18
              THE COURT: Do that now, please.
19
              MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you.
20
              (Off the record at 9:47 a.m.)
21
                                  --000--
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                      CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
 2
 3
      COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
 4
      STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 5
                      I, VICTORIA L. VALINE, FEDERAL OFFICIAL
 6
       REALTIME COURT REPORTER, REGISTERED MERIT REPORTER, IN AND
 7
       FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT
 8
       OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT PURSUANT TO SECTION
9
       753, TITLE 28, UNITED STATES CODE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A
10
       TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED
11
       PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER AND THAT THE
12
       TRANSCRIPT PAGE FORMAT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REGULATIONS
13
      OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES.
14
15
      DATE: April 7, 2011
16
17
18
19
      VICTORIA L. VALINE, CSR No. 3036, RMR, CRR
20
      FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
21
22
23
24
25
```

| * | 481 [1] - 736:2 | 759:2; 760:11, 15; 761:15; | Angela [11] - 732:10; | 748:18; 749:25; 750:10, 17, |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| \$ | 40 i [i] - 730.2 | 766:18, 20, 23; 767:1; | 733:22; 746:15; 751:16, 19; | 20; 751:12; 752:4; 753:17; |
| 4445.000 | | 771:15 | 752:17; 753:9; 759:13, 24; | 754:6, 8, 21, 25; 756:15; |
| \$145,000 [1] - 732:11 | 5 | accounts [4] - 753:2, 4; | 767:12; 770:22 | 757:4, 12-13, 15, 17; 758:2; |
| \$174,000 [1] - 732:8 | | 759:9; 771:14 | ANGELES [1] - 729:1 | 761:25; 762:6, 18; 763:3, 17, 21; 764:1; 765:6; 768:20-22; |
| \$50,000 [1] - 760:5 | 5368 [3] - 735:12, 17 | accused [2] - 775:6 | answer [1] - 755:14 | 769:8, 15; 771:19; 772:6, 18, |
| | 5th [1] - 736:12 | acknowledged[1]- | answers [1] - 777:12 | 25; 773:4; 777:12 |
| • | | 758:21 | | Basurto's [5] - 741:12; |
| | 6 | acquaintance [1] - | anticipate [1] - 762:4 | 743:3; 744:21; 753:15; |
| '01 [1] - 747:18 | | 751:13 | anyway [1] - 771:1 | 772:22 |
| '97 [1] - 747:18 | 64 [1] - 761:11 | act [1] - 734:20 | anyways [1] - 771:2 | Basurtos [1] - 745:7 |
| • • | 65 [1] - 761:12 | Act [2] - 761:11 | apart [1] - 736:13 | Bates [4] - 735:10, 12; |
| '98 [1] - 744:5 | 00[1]=701.72 | actions [1] - 775:10 | appeal [1] - 778:1 | 746:24; 769:4 |
| _ | - | activity [1] - 735:2 | appropriate [3] - 729:15; | bathroom [1] - 772:13 |
| 1 | 7 | acts [6] - 761:4, 8; 771:7; | 746:19; 771:17 | batter[1] - 776:11 |
| | 7 | 774:5; 775:2, 5 | APRIL [1] - 729:1 | battering [1] - 776:9 |
| 1 [2] - 730:9; 740:13 | 7 [1] - 729:1 | Acts [3] - 761:16; 774:4, 13 | argue [6] - 732:17; 737:6; | becomes [1] - 738:23 |
| 104 [1] - 738:25 | 70 [4] - 760:14, 18; 766:20; | | 751:17; 765:22; 774:3; | begging [1] - 775:25 |
| 106 [1] - 738:25 | 767:17 | actual [2] - 741:10; 747:8 | 775:16 | |
| 108 [2] - 768:6, 10 | 73 [3] - 761:16; 774:4, 13 | add [1] - 737:2 | arguing [2] - 774:8; 775:18 | begins [1] - 747:18 |
| 10th [1] - 769:13 | 74 [3] - 761:16; 774:4, 13 | addition [1] - 756:14 | argument [6] - 740:12; | belabor [1] - 753:7 |
| 11th [1] - 768:7 | 776 [5] - 762:10, 14; 763:15, | additional [1] - 737:2 | 747:4; 770:13; 775:2; 776:6; | best [5] - 736:18; 744:1; |
| • • | 17; 765:13 | address [1] - 749:18 | 778:3 | 749:11; 750:1; 751:11 |
| 12 [1] - 777:20 | 777 [10] - 753:25; 754:1, 3, 5, | addressed [1] - 774:1 | arguments [1] - 741:16 | between [7] - 747:5; 749:7; |
| 13 [1] - 761:10 | 16; 758:9; 759:12; 762:7; | addressing [1] - 767:15 | Arturo [15] - 746:7; 751:4; | 756:10; 763:12; 774:8; |
| 145,000 [1] - 735:22 | 764:23; 765:13 | admissible [9] - 730:7; | 759:7, 14, 24; 760:1, 5-6; | 776:7; 777:8 |
| 15 [3] - 742:13; 747:12; | 778 [4] - 729:13; 745:12; | 738:14, 23; 739:4, 10; 742:6; | 761:16, 18, 23; 762:3 | bit [1] - 729:10 |
| 758:12 | 748:13, 15 | 743:17, 23; 772:25 | Aryan [1] - 739:9 | block [1] - 753:6 |
| 17 [1] - 736:13 | 779 [5] - 729:13; 745:12, 16; | admit [2] - 754:5; 775:7 | aspect [1] - 740:2 | bounds [1] - 777:16 |
| 1998 [1] - 744:10 | 746:24; 747:13 | admits [1] - 769:2 | assertion [2] - 765:4; | Brady [1] - 768:23 |
| 1st [1] - 736:11 | | afford [2] - 752:1, 19 | 774:1 | break [3] - 777:19; 778:15 |
| | 8 | | assume [1] - 767:7 | breakdown [2] - 745:1; |
| 2 | | Agent [2] - 758:22; 766:25 | attached [2] - 732:14; | 748:16 |
| 4 | 8 [1] - 769:5 | ago [1] - 739:1 | 733:22 | bribe [20] - 730:22, 25; |
| 2 [1] - 743:3 | 8:00 [1] - 729:2 | Aguilar [55] - 729:6; | attempt [3] - 747:21; 748:9 | 733:19; 736:20; 738:5; |
| * * | 1 | 732:11, 15; 733:22; 734:4; | attempting [2] - 772:22; | 739:20; 740:10; 742:23; |
| 2002 [4] - 732:8; 734:16; 736:11 | 9 | 737:14, 16, 18; 738:4; 743:4, 8, 10, 15, 20, 24; 746:15; | 773:14 | 744:9, 24; 745:25; 746:1; |
| | | 747:23; 751:16, 19; 752:17; | attention [1] - 735:15 | 747:8; 748:16, 25; 749:1, 12; 752:2; 760:18; 763:3 |
| 2004 [3] - 734:12; 744:5, 9 | 90 [1] - 766:10 | 753:9; 754:15, 22; 755:9, 11, | attributed [1] - 733:12 | bribe-laden [1] - 742:23 |
| 2007 [2] - 761:24; 768:7 | 98 [1] - 777:15 | 14; 756:2, 10, 12, 21; | August [3] - 736:11; 747:18 | • |
| 2008 [1] - 734:16 | 9:47 [1] - 778:20 | 757:12, 14, 22; 758:4, 8; | authentic [1] - 735:1 | bribee [1] - 746:2 |
| 2009 [1] - 756:24 | 3.47 [1] - 176.20 | 759:11, 13, 15, 25; 760:4; 763:22; 765:3, 6-7, 23, 25; | • | bribees [1] - 749:16 |
| 2011 [1] - 729:1 | A | 766:4; 767:12; 770:17, 21, | authorization [4] - 732:11, 14, 16; 733:23 | bribers [2] - 736:21; 749:16 |
| 21 [2] - 736:13; 758:12 | Α | 23; 773:9, 17, 22 | authorized [6] - 759:11, | bribery [5] - 734:15; |
| 25th [1] - 747:18 | | Aguilar's [3] - 755:4; | 21, 25; 760:4; 761:18 | 741:17, 20; 747:6; 749:11 |
| 26 [1] - 756:23 | A.M [1] - 729:2 | 771:23 | B . | bribes [23] - 731:3; 732:1, |
| 29 [1] - 776:9 | a.m [1] - 778:20 | ahead [1] - 771:1 | authorizes [2] - 759:13, 15 | 4, 13; 740:25; 741:1; 742:9; |
| • • | ABB [30] - 732:13; 733:14; | akin [1] - 738:25 | authorizing [1] - 761:20 | 744:6, 11, 15; 749:7; 752:18; 754:12; 756:16; 763:8, 19; |
| 29th [1] - 756:24 | 735:12; 736:20; 737:12, 15, | alert [1] - 763:16 | avoid [1] - 777:2 | 765:17; 771:20; 772:7 |
| | 24; 738:12; 741:11, 14; | allegation [3] - 771:9 | aware [2] - 759:4; 767:21 | bribing [1] - 740:16 |
| 3 | 745:19; 746:23; 747:7; 755:6, 15, 17, 19, 24; 763:9; | allegations [2] - 761:3; | awhile [1] - 742:17 | briefly [1] - 776:20 |
| _ | 764:1; 765:16; 768:8, 10; | 763:7 | | bring [2] - 747:21; 778:4 |
| 3 [4] - 748:19; 750:20; 751:15; | 771:18; 772:20, 23; 773:12, | alleged [11] - 734:16, 18, | В | |
| 752:17 | 14 | 20; 740:25; 746:22; 750:13; | | brokerage [1] - 732:10 |
| 302 [2] - 757:7 | able [3] - 740:24; 754:20; | 755:10; 771:8; 773:9, 23; | Bank [1] - 734:25 | brother [5] - 759:24; 760:3, |
| 302s [2] - 757:20; 777:7 | 762:23 | 776:4 | bank [9] - 732:12; 733:21; | 6; 761:15; 766:19 |
| 3505 [1] - 735:1 | absent [1] - 765:21 | allegedly [2] - 773:2, 18 | 734:3; 753:1, 4; 759:8; | Brotherhood [1] - 739:9 |
| 3WT [1] - 748:19 | absolutely [5] - 749:10; | allow [1] - 771:6 | 761:15; 771:13 | brought [1] - 755:5 |
| | 750:1; 765:2; 768:2; 770:1 | allowed [2] - 742:12; | barrier [1] - 743:13 | burden [1] - 739:25 |
| 4 | Academy [2] - 734:10, 24 | 749:21 | based [1] - 777:5 | business [2] - 735:2 |
| | acceptance [2] - 761:19; | allowing [1] - 772:24 | bases [1] - 742:7 | |
| 403 [5] - 750:7; 753:19; | 763:8 | almost [2] - 750:6; 776:17 | | С |
| 770:14; 776:12, 14 | access [1] - 753:3 | amount [3] - 741:11; | basis [7] - 741:14; 744:2; 750:7, 19; 751:3; 758:9; | |
| 404 [2] - 770:14; 775:5 | according [1] - 747:19 | 750:18; 768:4 | 750:7, 19; 751:3; 756:9; 772:24 | CALIFORNIA [1] - 729:1 |
| · · | accordingly [1] - 750:17 | amounts [1] - 758:14 | Basurto [61] - 731:22; | |
| 404(b [2] - 750:8; 775:9 | account [31] - 732:10, | | 733:23; 736:19; 737:4, 13, | camera [2] - 768:24; 769:5 |
| 404(b) [1] - 742:7 | 12-13; 733:18, 20-21; 734:1, | analogy [1] - 739:15 | 17; 738:11, 14; 739:2; 740:7, | carefully [1] - 770:5 |
| 434 [2] - 735:7 | 3, 6, 8; 736:4, 8-9; 739:19, | analysis [3] - 766:23, 25; | 17; 741:7; 742:23; 743:19; | Carlos [26] - 731:2; 738:2, |
| 480 [1] - 736:2 | 23; 741:6; 758:19, 24-25; | 767:21 | 744:1, 6, 14; 745:8, 18; | 16; 739:1; 746:3, 7; 747:22; |
| | | | 1 | |

commissions [6] -

738:4

772:19

765:24

741:13

772:6

744:23, 25; 745:1, 3, 22

743:14; 744:5; 748:22;

complicit [2] - 763:8;

computer [1] - 737:7

741:11; 754:16; 772:19

concerns [1] - 770:18

conferred [1] - 767:11

confusing [3] - 742:2;

confusion [2] - 750:5;

766:4; 770:22; 777:23

consider [1] - 771:7

consisted [1] - 753:2

20, 22; 739:7, 10, 22;

750:11, 13; 763:12

context [1] - 730:5

continue [1] - 773:16

contract [3] - 748:17;

740:14, 18: 741:10, 18:

controls [1] - 771:14

conversations [7] -

730:19: 738:1: 750:21:

conversation [1] - 754:21

751:3; 753:18; 762:3; 763:11

749:2: 761:19

747:19; 763:23; 772:19;

746:22; 774:25

750.9

770:24

756:3

733:9, 11

758:7

748:1, 11, 20; 750:22; 754:8, 19: 755:1-3: 762:8, 16, 19, 21, 24; 763;6, 18, 21 case [82] - 729:6, 8; 730:6; 731:16. 18. 20-21: 732:3: 734:14, 19: 736:22, 24: 738:13, 17-18; 739:2-4; 740:10, 15, 25; 741:4, 14-15; 743:11; 744:19; 746:23, 25; 747:14, 25; 748:4; 749:4, 15, 20; 750:9, 11-12, 14, 20, 23-24; 751:1, 5, 19; 752:18; 755:7, 15, 17, 19, 22; 756:3, 13; 758:9; 768:8-10; 769:10, 14; 772:20; 773:2, 9, 12, 15, 18, 23; 774:9, 25; 776:4, 6, 8: 777:25 cautionary [1] - 764:19 centrally [1] - 772:5 certainly [3] - 750:6, 12; 756:11 cetera [2] - 757:16 CFE [24] - 731:7; 732:4; 733:19; 739:20; 741:17; 742:8; 744:15, 19; 746:4; 748:2, 21; 754:12; 755:1; 757:13, 18; 761:23; 762:2, 19-20; 763:1, 18, 22; 771:21 challenge [1] - 741:25 chance [1] - 764:25 changes [2] - 751:17; 762:25 character [2] - 775:6, 10 charge [1] - 761:23 charged [3] - 738:21; 739:21; 769:14 **charges** [2] - 758:10; 759:5 check [4] - 743:14, 16-17; 759:9 choose [1] - 766:2 chosen [1] - 738:5 circumstances [2] -741:18; 763:4 cited [1] - 776:5 civil [3] - 751:20; 752:1, 22 clarification [1] - 767:4 clarify [1] - 753:23 clear [4] - 754:17; 768:2; 770:11: 777:4 clerk [1] - 729:9 close [2] - 747:5; 758:14 close-in-time [1] - 747:5 CO [13] - 731:17: 738:7. 10. 17, 19; 739:2-4; 750:11, 13, 23: 756:3: 763:12 co-conspirator [9] -738:7, 10, 17, 19; 739:2-4; 750:23: 756:3 co-conspirators [4] -731:17; 750:11, 13; 763:12 coffee [2] - 729:10; 743:20 colleague [1] - 763:7 colleagues [3] - 740:9; 749:19; 765:22 column [2] - 745:22; 748:18 coming [1] - 767:6 comment [1] - 771:2 commingled [1] - 739:19 commingling [1] - 759:17

convey [1] - 777:6 companies [2] - 731:5; company [11] - 732:1; 769:2, 10; 771:12, 23, 25; comparing [1] - 741:7 completed [1] - 749:13 composition [1] - 766:18 comprehensible [1] concern [3] - 730:4: 771:5: concerned [1] - 770:23 concerning [4] - 730:20; concluded [1] - 767:21 conclusion [1] - 774:22 conducted [1] - 735:2 congratulations [1] connection [4] - 734:13; considered [2] - 767:13; conspiracy [16] - 738:11, 740:10; 743:7, 25; 746:21; conspirator [9] - 738:7, 10. 17. 19: 739:2-4: 750:23: conspirators [8] - 731:17; 737:10; 743:9, 11; 748:12; contemporaneous [2] **contention** [2] - 749:9; contracts [11] - 730:20; 742:25; 747:6-8, 10; 749:16 contributed [2] - 760:13, control [2] - 748:7; 762:1

conviction [1] - 750:10 convoluted [1] - 736:18 cooperation [1] - 769:17 cooperator [1] - 777:9 copy [1] - 745:19 correct [4] - 733:3, 6; 765:11; 774:22 correlation [1] - 749:6 corrupt [2] - 769:9; 775:14 cost [2] - 751:24; 752:8 count[1] - 739:22 country [1] - 762:2 course [3] - 766:24; 770:20; court [2] - 742:12; 768:6 Court [11] - 754:11; 759:3; 766:17, 22; 767:2; 768:24; 770:24; 772:4; 773:25; 776:11: 777:5 COURT [177] - 729:4, 20; 730:1, 12, 16, 21; 731:8, 11, 15, 20, 24; 732:3, 19, 21, 24; 733:2, 8, 11, 17, 20; 734:2, 5, 11, 13, 17, 22; 735; 4, 8, 13, 17, 20; 736:5, 8, 10, 13, 16; 737:3, 7, 13, 22; 738:6, 9, 13, 16, 24; 739:6, 9, 14, 17; 740:1, 19, 21, 24; 741:12, 24; 742:15; 743:2, 11, 13; 744:5, 10, 12, 17; 745:4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17; 746:1, 5, 10, 14, 20; 747:2, 12, 17, 25; 748:3, 9, 13, 23; 749:3, 9; 750:17; 751:9; 752:7, 11, 15; 753:1, 5, 12, 22, 24; 754:1, 3, 13, 24; 755:7, 13, 17, 21, 25; 756:2, 5, 7, 9, 17, 25; 757; 2, 5, 7, 10; 758:1, 6, 21; 759:18, 21; 760:9. 12. 16. 22: 761:6. 8. 17: 762:5, 9, 12, 16: 763:5, 14, 20, 24; 764:6, 10. 16. 24: 765:8, 10, 16; 766:9; 767:8, 19. 24: 768:9. 13. 17. 19: 769:3, 7, 19, 24; 770:2, 7, 10, 15, 25; 771:10, 24; 772:9, 14; 774:7, 11, 16, 22; 775:12, 22; 776:6, 13, 15, 17; 777:1, 11; 778:7, 11, 14, Court's [1] - 767:3 courtroom [3] - 729:23; 770:25 cover [1] - 760:25 crafted [2] - 770:5; 774:3 create [4] - 737:5, 7, 14; 758:3 created [5] - 757:17, 23; 758:3 creating [1] - 737:8 credit [1] - 769:17

748:9, 11; 758:22 details [4] - 736:3; 737:2, 4; 768:14 **determining** [1] - 769:22 difference [2] - 739:14, 18 different [15] - 736:20; 739:19; 741:4; 742:25; 744:19; 749:15-17; 767:18; 768:10; 772:19 differently [1] - 765:25 direction [1] - 757:24 directly [8] - 730:14, 25; 733:7: 747:23: 754:18: 764:8; 765:25; 769:10 Director [2] - 761:24: 762:1 discuss [1] - 763:3 discussed [1] - 744:20 discussing [2] - 754:6; 770:12 discussion [1] - 777:8 disproving [1] - 746:21 document [23] - 735:9, 20-21, 23; 746:23; 754:9-11, 22, 25; 755:3; 756:9, 15, 21; 763:24; 764:1, 8; 765:3, 23; 766:3 documents [8] - 733:14; 735:25; 736:1; 741:3, 5, 8; criminal [2] - 738:21; 742:10; 749:13 750:21 dollar [2] - 760:19 Cross [4] - 766:1; 769:20; dollar-for-dollar[1] -773:4, 20 760:19 cross-examination[1] dollars [1] - 760:1 - 769:20 done [6] - 734:11: 750:15: cross-examine [2] -752:20; 760:19; 764:15; 773:4, 20 766:25 cross-examining [1] down [2] - 741:12; 778:6

cup [2] - 729:10; 743:20 Dr [4] - 756:21; 765:3, 7; 767:13 current [1] - 755:23 draw [2] - 760:17: 774:22 Dresdner [4] - 733:21; D 734:3, 6, 25 **drew** [1] - 739:15 dates [2] - 736:10 due [1] - 751:13 days [3] - 736:13; 758:13 during [4] - 748:16; 751:13; deal [6] - 746:15-17; 747:22; 762:19; 778:16 754:17; 775:19 Durkin [2] - 758:23; 766:25 dealings [2] - 754:14, 16 Durkin's [2] - 766:23; dealt [1] - 730:14 767:21 decide [1] - 740:6 dynamic [1] - 777:6 defendant [8] - 733:6; 739:1, 3; 740:2; 750:22; E 751:4, 19; 755:23 defendants [15] - 729:7, e-mail [3] - 768:18; 769:4 14; 731:16; 732:5; 734:25; 746:11; 749:23; 750:14; education [2] - 734:10, 18 755:21: 767:7: 770:14: effort [2] - 737:9; 742:3 774:20: 775:11 either [2] - 730:6; 775:3 defendants' [4] - 741:16; 742:22: 749:18: 772:5

defense [8] - 741:23-25;

defense's [2] - 747:4;

delay [2] - 749:14; 750:5

demonstrates [1] -

deposition [4] - 742:14;

748:24

demonstrate [1] - 751:18

749:6, 18; 773:3, 19; 776:1

element [1] - 753:9 elements [1] - 739:24 elicit [8] - 753:14; 754:7, 11; 762:6, 23; 763:11; 772:22; 773:14 elicited [1] - 730:13 eliciting [1] - 730:21 employee [2] - 762:19 end [1] - 777:20 ends [1] - 747:18 engaged [3] - 738:11; Enrique [36] - 737:14, 16; 738:4; 743:4, 8, 10; 747:22; 754:14, 18, 22; 755:4, 8, 11, 14; 756:2, 21; 757:12, 22; 758:4, 7: 759:11, 15: 760:4: 763:22: 765:3, 5, 7, 23, 25: 766:3: 770:21: 771:22: 773:8, 17, 22 entirely [1] - 772:19 entitled [1] - 754:14 entries (2) - 746:25: 747:12 establish [3] - 740:24; 743:15; 775:13 established [1] - 733:4 establishing [1] - 742:21 et [2] - 757:16 evaluate [1] - 749:19 eventually [1] - 774:3 Evergreen [3] - 740:14; 747:7; 748:16 evidence [38] - 732:3; 733:5; 734:5; 740:9, 16, 19, 22; 741:11, 23; 742:6, 21; 751:16, 21, 24; 752:13; 753:19; 758:7; 759:16; 760:7; 761:17; 762:4; 763:6; 765:12, 21; 769:22; 770:4; 771:4, 7, 23; 773:1; 774:12;

775:7. 9. 12. 18

750:5

evident [1] - 766:17

exactly [1] - 758:16

evidentiary [2] - 744:2;

examination [1] - 769:20

examine [2] - 773:4, 20

examining [1] - 766:1 except [3] - 740:15; 758:10; 763:7 exception [3] - 735:3; 738:19: 739:4 exclude [1] - 750:8 excluded [2] - 729:23; 765:12 exclusion [1] - 753:19 exhibit [6] - 734:24; 735:11, 19; 754:6; 756:18; 765:18 Exhibit [11] - 735:6; 745:16; 746:24; 747:13; 748:13, 15; 753:25: 758:8: 762:7, 10, 14 Exhibits [4] - 729:13; 732:22; 736:2; 745:11 exhibits [1] - 734:23 expected [2] - 743:3; expensive [1] - 751:17 explain [5] - 731:22; 738:3; 742:5; 751:12; 764:11 explained [1] - 731:3 explaining [1] - 752:16 explanation [2] - 740:4; 750:4 explicit[1] - 765:14 explicitly [2] - 754:21; 767:11 extent [2] - 741:13; 764:18 extraordinarily [1] -

F

768:3

face [4] - 732:17; 741:1, 5; 769:1 fact [3] - 755:12; 757:23; 758:11 falls [1] - 735:1 false [4] - 731:4; 732:17; 733:13; 737:6 family [2] - 759:14, 16 far [4] - 742:3; 744:21; 774:1; 775:1 father [4] - 744:23; 745:7, 22; 755:5 FBI [1] - 757:3 fear [1] - 777:10 Fernando [5] - 739:2; 745:8: 753:15 few [2] - 737:1; 767:25 figure [1] - 730:7 financial [1] - 760:7 Financial [4] - 732:10; 758:19; 759:10; 760:14 fine [3] - 748:3; 771:17; 778-11 finished [1] - 729:13 First [3] - 734:21; 761:4, 11 first [10] - 747:17; 754:13; 758:18, 20; 762:21; 764:24; 766:13; 768:2, 12 five [3] - 758:19; 769:5; 778:15 five-minute [1] - 778:15 flatly [1] - 742:3

flowing [1] - 758:23 follow [2] - 730:2, 5 followed [2] - 758:11; follows [2] - 733:17; 757:11 footnote [1] - 751:15 Footnote [1] - 752:17 force [2] - 770:24 foreign [1] - 735:2 former [1] - 748:2 formulated [1] - 737:11 forth [1] - 754:19 forty [1] - 758:19 forty-five [1] - 758:19 foundation [10] - 738:22; Francisco [1] - 746:9 frankly [3] - 742:14; 748:6; free [2] - 746:13; 754:14 full [2] - 772:9; 774:25 fundamental [1] - 749:22 funds [6] - 739:19; 743:22; **furtherance** [2] - 739:7;

762:24

G

751:5; 766:18, 20; 767:1

743:5; 744:2, 6; 756:20;

775:25

757:24; 765:2, 4, 9; 770:21

general [6] - 737:11; 738:12; 755:23; 767:21; 771:8, 10 generated [1] - 747:13 Germany [1] - 771:14 aist [1] - 777:24 given [6] - 741:18; 746:2, 7; 763:10; 767:5; 776:20 glad [1] - 742:5 glance [1] - 764:17 Global [4] - 732:10; 758:19; 759:10; 760:14 GOLDBERG [2] - 778:16, government [19] - 731:7; 732:16; 742:16; 744:15, 20; 747:4, 21; 749:18; 751:15; 752:9; 754:4, 7; 755:10; 759:6, 8: 760:7: 762:4: 774:24: 777:11 government's [5] -729:15: 749:24: 761:18. 22: 771:5 Government's [7] -732:22; 735:6; 736:2; 745:11, 16; 748:15; 762:14 grant [1] - 756:10 grease [1] - 764:2 group [1] - 737:9 Grupo [19] - 732:7, 9; 733:9, 15: 735:24: 736:8, 25: 758:12. 24-25: 759:2: 760:9: 766:18; 775:13; 776:8 **guess** [1] - 767:16

guessing [1] - 777:16

guidance [1] - 750:2

Gustavo [1] - 746:8

Н

hair [8] - 751:7, 14, 24-25: 752:1, 5, 14, 19 hall [1] - 778:6 hand [3] - 731:1; 738:2; 763:2 handed [2] - 729:12; 768:17 handsomest [1] - 753:6 Handzlik [4] - 766:13; Handzlik's [1] - 769:24 749:13 heard [4] - 750:24; 756:17; 772:7 23: 762:4: 764:3

19, 21; 732:6, 23; 733:1, 7, 735:12, 14, 16, 18, 25; 736:15; 737:1, 9, 17; 738:8, 15, 19; 739; 5, 8, 13, 16; 740:17, 20, 23: 741:9, 16: 742:11: 743:1, 6, 12: 744:4, 8, 11, 13; 745:5, 14; 746:9, 12, 18; 747:1, 3, 16, 24; 751:8; 752:4, 9, 13, 24; 753:3, 11, 21; 754:2, 4, 17; 755:8, 16; 756:1, 4, 6, 14, 760:21; 761:2, 4, 9-10, 13, 22; 762:8, 18; 763:10, 12, 16, 23; 764:5, 9, 13, 22; 765:15; 766:7, 15, 24; 767:23, 25; 768:4, 16, 18, 22; 769:6, 23; 770:1, 4, 8, 11, 17; 771:5; 772:2, 12; 773:25: 774:10, 15: 775:4. 778:5, 8, 16 Honor's [1] - 763:10 hour [2] - 776:18 hours [3] - 777:21

identical (3) - 733:15: 758:14 identified [4] - 730:9; 731:2; 738:16; 756:5

1

immediate [1] - 750:7 imply [1] - 741:17

767:22; 773:24; 774:11 important [1] - 759:6 HANDZLIK [18] - 761:2;

766:14: 767:10. 23: 770:11 16: 773:25: 774:9, 15, 18: 775:4, 21, 24; 776:11, 14, 16; 778:9, 12

happy [2] - 741:9; 752:10 hard [1] - 750:25 hatched [2] - 747:19;

hear [1] - 729:15

764:25: 766:22 hearsay [6] - 731:11; 738:6, 18; 751:5; 757:25; 765:9

held [1] - 751:21 help[1]-754:12 helped [3] - 737:5; 754:8;

Hernandez [13] - 731:17; 744:18; 746:8; 751:4; 759:7, 14, 24; 760;2; 761;16, 18,

Hernandez's [4] - 760:2,

highlighting [1] - 771:3 Holguin [1] - 746:9 honestly [2] - 742:4;

Honor [137] - 729:19, 25; 730:11, 15, 24; 731:10, 14, 10, 16, 18; 734;8, 12, 15, 23; 748:5, 10, 15; 749:5; 750:16; 19; 758:5, 16; 759:1, 20, 23; 21, 24; 776:3, 16, 20; 777:3;

illegal [1] - 739:24

importance [1] - 771:21

impossible [1] - 741:17 inadmissible [3] - 740:3;

742:3; 766:11 including [2] - 729:13;

incoming [1] - 736:3 incommensurate [1] -751:20

incorrect [1] - 766:24 indicted [1] - 755:15 indictment [1] - 761:11 Indictment (8) - 734:21: 738:21; 747:20; 755:10; 759:4; 761:5, 11; 771:8

indirect [1] - 743:25 individual [2] - 729:7; 730:19

inference [2] - 760:17, 22 information [11] - 730:22; 734:25: 737:10: 750:18: 752:25: 754:7 18: 768:11:

inmates [1] - 739:12 instead [1] - 749:2 instruct [6] - 772:1, 3; 773:5; 777:1, 11; 778:17

769:15, 17; 777:13

instructed [3] - 729:9; 772:21; 773:13

instructing [1] - 777:17 instruction [15] - 764:19; 767:4, 8: 769:25: 770:5, 13. 18: 771:6, 16-18: 772:5: 774:2, 17; 777:24

instructions [2] - 775:17 intend [3] - 775:7; 776:11 intends [1] - 737:20

intent [1] - 775:10 interacted [1] - 757:22 interaction [1] - 765:7

interactions [2] - 730:18; 757:13

International [14] - 731:5, 23, 25; 732:4, 8; 737:15; 738:3: 755:9, 11: 756:8: 759:11; 772:10; 773:1, 8

International's [2] -732:10; 761:15

interview [6] - 756:23-25; 757:2, 4, 21

intrinsically [1] - 731:12 introduce [5] - 737:20;

741:10; 751:15, 24; 765:13 introducing [1] - 752:12 investigation [1] - 748:6

invite [1] - 740:11 inviting [1] - 740:1 invoice [21] - 732:15, 20-21: 735:4, 6, 17, 22: 736:14 22 24:737:6 10 15: 743:6: 755:5: 757:15, 23: 762:11: 763:17: 764:15

invoices [18] - 731:4; 733:13-15, 22, 25; 737:5, 7, 20, 22; 748:22; 755:9; 757:17, 24; 758:3; 762:13

involve [3] - 739:11; 772:20; 773:12

involved [9] - 736:22; 743:15; 744:8, 10, 12, 19; 758:8; 761:20; 769:10

involves [1] - 774:5 involving [2] - 736:20; 763:9

IRS [2] - 757:3, 8 issue [1] - 765:17 issues [4] - 773:5, 21 itself [2] - 743:25; 750:7

J

John's [2] - 734:10, 24 join [1] - 769:24 joking [1] - 751:9 Jorge [1] - 744:18 July [1] - 756:24 jump [1] - 776:23 juror [1] - 730:5 jurors [2] - 729:9; 778:4 iury [8] - 741:6: 760:17: 764:19; 767:5; 770:23; 771:7; 772:3; 774:4

K

Keith [1] - 746:16 kidding [1] - 751:23 killing [1] - 739:11 kind [1] - 775:8 knowing [1] - 769:16 knowledge [7] - 747:22; 751:4, 22; 752:17; 753:8; 754:10 known [1] - 772:20 knows [1] - 769:1 kosher [2] - 741:6; 742:10

L

L.A [1] - 769:10 labeled [1] - 748:19 lacking [1] - 740:4 lacks [2] - 744:1 laden [1] - 742:23 laid [2] - 738:22; 759:4 language [1] - 777:12 Larson [2] - 756:17; 764:20 LARSON [13] - 756:19: 757:1, 3, 6, 8, 11; 758:2; 764:22; 765:1, 9; 766:7; 770:17; 771:2 **Larson's** [1] - 758:6 last [5] - 735:11, 18; 756:19;

759:18; 765:4 late [1] - 730:18 latest [2] - 747:17 laundered [2] - 743:22; 775:14 laundering [5] - 739:21; 753:10; 759:5; 760:17 law [2] - 729:9; 742:11 lawyer [2] - 768:20; 769:8 lawyers [5] - 729:6; 750:25; 768:8; 773:3, 19 least [6] - 730:6; 741:3; 747:13: 755:9; 760:18; 761:24 lectern [1] - 729:17 Lee [4] - 746:17; 767:13; 768:3: 769:14 lend [1] - 776:22 letters [2] - 768:6, 10 level [1] - 750:4 Levine [5] - 735:13; 767:11, 24; 769;4; 776;19 **LEVINE** [14] - 735:14; 767:25: 768:10, 16, 18, 22: 769:6, 13, 23; 770:1; 776:20; 777:3: 778:5, 8 likely [1] - 750:5 likewise [2] - 759:13 limit [2] - 741:10; 749:24 limitations [1] - 765:14 limited [5] - 750:18; 767:4, 12: 773:8, 22 limiting [13] - 767:8; 769:25: 770:5, 12, 18: 771:6. 16-18; 772:4; 774:2; 775:17; 777:12 Lindsey [29] - 732:5, 7; 733:6, 8: 735:22: 736:3: 746:16; 758:12; 760:12, 18, 25; 761:20; 766:21; 767:7, 13-14, 17; 769:10; 770:13; 771:18, 20; 772:7; 774:6, 21; line [1] - 743:16 link [13] - 731:20; 733:12; 746:22; 747:5; 753:18; 756:10: 758:10: 759:3: 760:12; 765:24; 774:8; 776:7 linked [4] - 732:5; 733:9; 735:22: 756:12 linking [1] - 756:14 list [1] - 734:24 LMC [2] - 733:12; 763:7 logic [1] - 739:6 long-sustaining [1] -777:9 look [4] - 741:2; 742:10; 745:15: 748:14 looked [3] - 741:5, 20; 742-22 looking [2] - 735:20; 766:23 LOS [1] - 729:1 lost [1] - 745:4 LTD [1] - 735:12

М

Mafia [1] - 739:11

mail [3] - 768:18; 769:4 man [4] - 731:1; 738:2; 753:6; 763:2 managed [1] - 742:24 Management [4] -737:25; 745:20; 755:6; 764:15 management [2] -733:25; 745:11 manager [3] - 737:11; 738:12; 755:24 manner [1] - 737:14 Manufacturing [11] -732:7: 736:3: 760:13. 25: 766:21; 767:14; 769:11: 771:18, 20; 772:8; 774:6 marked [1] - 746:24 Martimas [1] - 731:5 massive [1] - 750:4 material [1] - 768:23 materials [1] - 729:12 mathematically [1] -760:19 matter [1] - 772:23 matters [1] - 729:11 Maya [1] - 745:8 mean [6] - 742:18; 751:7, 10; 753:7; 767:17; 775:25 meaning [2] - 736:19; 771:10 means [2] - 736:17; 751:20 members [4] - 739:9; 759:14. 16 memorandum [1] - 757:4 merit [1] - 740:5 met [2] - 730:17; 766:3 Mexican [4] - 734:7; 739:11; 742:11 Mexico [5] - 748:5, 12; 749:12; 751:25; 765:18 military [1] - 734:9 Military [2] - 734:10, 24

MILLER [1] - 772:12

million [2] - 748:19: 760:1 mind [1] - 742:4

minimize [1] - 751:10 minute [2] - 764:24; 778:15

minutes [1] - 778:5 missed [1] - 759:18

moment [3] - 729:8; 770:9; 772:12

money [25] - 731:6; 732:9, 13; 733:4, 8; 734:6; 739:21-23; 746:7; 748:19; 753:10; 757:19; 758:18, 23; 759:5; 760:9, 14, 24; 764:2; 767:17; 775:13; 776:3

monies [1] - 776:7 Moreno [27] - 730:10, 14, 17, 23; 731:7, 17; 732:1; 733:19; 738:2, 5, 12; 740:16; 746:3; 748:20; 751:16, 19; 752:18; 757:18; 762:20, 22,

Moreno's [8] - 731:1; 734:9: 751:12: 752:14: 753:4; 755:2; 763:2, 8 morning [2] - 729:4, 12

25; 763:1, 4, 7, 22; 764:3

most [2] - 763:4; 775:8 mother [5] - 759:24; 760:2, 5; 761:15; 766:19 motion [1] - 766:22

moved [1] - 749:23

MR [34] - 756:19; 757:1, 3, 6, 8, 11; 758:2; 761:2; 764:22; 765:1, 9; 766:7, 14; 767:10, 23; 770:11, 16-17; 771:2; 772:12; 773:25; 774:9, 15, 18; 775:4, 21, 24; 776:11, 14, 16; 778;9, 12, 16, 19

Mrazek [14] - 729:17; 747:22: 749:15: 751:12: 756:22: 765:5: 766:17, 25: 768:5, 19; 769:2, 8; 774:1; 777.8

MRAZEK [137] - 729:19, 25; 730:11, 15, 17, 24; 731:10, 14, 19, 21, 25; 732:6, 20, 23; 733:1, 7, 10, 16, 18, 21; 734:3, 8, 12, 15, 20, 23; 735:6, 11, 18, 25; 736:7, 9, 11, 15; 737:1, 4, 9, 17, 24; 738:7, 10, 15, 19; 739:5, 8, 13, 16, 18; 740:17, 20, 23; 741;9, 16; 742;11; 743:1, 6, 12; 744:4, 8, 11, 13, 18; 745:5, 7, 10, 14, 16, 18; 746:3, 6, 12, 18; 747:1, 3, 16, 24; 748; 1, 5, 10, 15, 24; 749;5; 750;16; 751;8; 752:4. 9. 12. 24: 753:3. 11. 21, 23, 25; 754; 2, 4, 17; 755:1, 8, 16, 19, 23: 756:1, 4. 6. 8. 14: 758:5. 16: 759:1 20. 23; 760:10, 13, 21, 24; 761:3, 7, 9, 22: 762:8, 10, 13, 18; 763;10, 16, 21; 764:5, 9, 13; 765:15; 766:8; 770:4, 8; 771:5, 12; 772:2,

Mrazek's [1] - 776:22

MS [151] - 729:19, 25; 730:11, 15, 17, 24; 731:10, 14, 19, 21, 25; 732:6, 20, 23; 733:1, 7, 10, 16, 18, 21; 734:3, 8, 12, 15, 20, 23; 735:6, 11, 14, 18, 25; 736:7, 9, 11, 15; 737:1, 4, 9, 17, 24; 738:7, 10, 15, 19; 739:5, 8, 13, 16, 18; 740;17, 20, 23; 741:9. 16: 742:11: 743:1. 6. 12: 744:4, 8, 11, 13, 18: 745:5 7 10 14 16 18: 746:3, 6, 12, 18; 747:1, 3, 16, 24; 748:1, 5, 10, 15, 24; 749:5; 750:16; 751:8; 752:4, 9, 12, 24; 753:3, 11, 21, 23, 25; 754:2, 4, 17; 755:1, 8, 16, 19, 23; 756:1, 4, 6, 8, 14; 758:5, 16; 759:1, 20, 23; 760:10, 13, 21, 24; 761:3, 7, 9, 22; 762:8, 10, 13, 18; 763:10, 16, 21; 764:5, 9, 13; 765:15; 766:8; 767:25; 768:10, 16, 18, 22; 769:6, 13, 23; 770:1, 4, 8; 771:5 12; 772:2, 10; 776:20; 777:3; 778:5.8

multiple [3] - 731:6; 736:1; 764:14

must [1] - 747:12 muster [1] - 742:6 Ν

name [8] - 734:4: 740:8: 743:16; 744:18; 750:24; 753:15; 772:9

narrative [1] - 777:14 nature [1] - 776:21 near [1] - 733:9

nearly [1] - 747:5 necessarily [1] - 742:10 necessary [2] - 729:16; 753.9

need [4] - 740:11; 768:14; 775:2; 778:3

needing [1] - 740:1 needs [2] - 747:4; 749:6 Nester [24] - 731:7; 732:1; 733:19; 734:9; 738:2-4, 12; 740:16; 746:3; 748:20; 751:12, 16, 19; 752:14, 18; 753:4; 762:20, 22, 24-25; 763:2, 4, 22

Nestor [1] - 755:2 network [1] - 733:24 Network [4] - 737:24; 745:19; 755:6; 764:15

never [2] - 766:3 Nineties [1] - 730:18 none [1] - 776:4

nothing [10] - 737:8; 740:14: 741:1: 742:5, 22: 757:20; 767:6; 768:3; 769:1; 770:20

notwithstanding [1] -774:2

Nova [1] - 746:7 number [7] - 732:24; 733:3; 735:5, 10, 12, 17; 746:24 numbers [1] - 761:8

0

O'Shea (3) - 755:25:

763:23, 25 object [1] - 776:25 objecting [2] - 777:4 objection [2] - 740:2; objections [2] - 729:14; 750:5 Obras [1] - 731:5 obtain [1] - 749:1 obtained [4] - 741:19; obvious [2] - 751:13, 17 obviously [1] - 764:14 occur [1] - 732:7 occurred [6] - 734:16; 747:9: 748:25: 752:5: 759:7 October [1] - 769:13 odious [1] - 775:8 offer [5] - 729:18; 730:4; 740:21; 761:18; 772:4 offered [1] - 771:25 offering [2] - 769:14, 16 office [3] - 755:2; 757:13; 763:18

official [6] - 734:7; 744:15, 17, 19-20; 748:2 officials [7] - 731:7; 733:19; 739:20: 742:12: 757:19: 771:21; 775:15 once [2] - 743:18; 750:22 one [22] - 732:21; 733:22; 737:4, 19, 22; 738:4; 739:24; 745:11; 747:5; 748:14; 749:1; 758:16, 19; 759:3; 760:5; 761:13; 762:13; 775:24 one-time [1] - 749:1

one-to-one [1] - 747:5 ones [2] - 733:23; 761:6 open [1] - 742:3 **Operations** [2] - 761:25; 762:1

operations [1] - 762:1 **operator** [2] - 755:3; 763:2 **opportunity** [3] - 742:19; 767:19; 778:10

opposed [1] - 741:25 order [2] - 742:13; 752:15 ordered [1] - 758:3

outcome [2] - 777:25; overall [1] - 740:6

overbearing [1] - 776:24 overseas [1] - 732:12 overstating [1] - 774:11 Overt [4] - 761:11, 16; 774.4 13

overt [7] - 734:20; 761:4, 12-13; 771:7; 774:4; 775:2

own [2] - 745:2; 765:6 owned [1] - 743:20 owner [4] - 737:18; 743:4, 24: 755:11

ownership [1] - 743:19

P

Page [5] - 730:9; 740:13; 743:3; 750:20; 761:10 page [3] - 735:9, 11, 18 paid [10] - 730:22, 25; 736:20; 744:24; 748:21; 749:7: 753:6: 759:9: 776:7 palpable [1] - 750:7 Paragraph [1] - 756:23 pardon [1] - 762:12 part [9] - 739:24: 743:7:

744:24: 749:18: 759:18: 763:23; 765:16; 774:9; 776:4 participated [1] - 733:24

particular [2] - 734:20; 761:3

parts [1] - 742:2 pass [5] - 732:1; 744:6; 745:25; 746:1; 772:7 passed [6] - 731:4, 6; 748:21; 749:8; 750:3; 771:20

passes [1] - 742:6 passing [3] - 744:11, 15; 748:25

Pause [1] - 772:11

pay [8] - 732:4; 734:9; 752:19; 754:12; 756:16; 763:19: 764:2, 14 paying [1] - 735:15 payment [4] - 733:25; 749:1: 755:6: 759:2 payments [23] - 733:11; 738:5; 744:9, 24; 745:23, 25; 746:1: 747:5, 8: 748:16, 25: 749:2; 752:2, 13; 758:12, 24; 760:18: 761:19: 763:3: 765:17; 775:14 people [4] - 750:13; 764:14; 765:17; 775:10 perceive [1] - 740:15 percent [7] - 760:14, 18; 766:10, 20; 767:17, 20; 777:15 percipient [1] - 750:19 perfectly [1] - 754:13 perhaps [2] - 731:16; 749:9 period [2] - 748:25; 762:19 periods [1] - 749:17 permissible [1] - 777:17 permission [1] - 754:11 permit [4] - 740:9; 750:4; 753:14: 760:22 permitted [8] - 740:5; 762:5; 765:13, 22; 773:3, 16, 19; 774:3 permitting [2] - 767:2 person [3] - 731:2; 763:3; 764:8 personai [1] - 754:10 phase [3] - 774:23; 778:3 phony [2] - 737:15; 742:22 physical [2] - 740:20; 751:13 picked [1] - 755:3 picture [1] - 730:12 place [2] - 756:24; 776:22 plaguing [1] - 742:16 plain [1] - 777:12 plan [1] - 748:24 planned [1] - 747:9 planning [4] - 734:22; 740:21; 752:12; 763:5 plastic [3] - 751:6, 14; 752:5 played [4] - 773:2, 9, 18, 23 pleading [1] - 776:1 plugs [7] - 751:7, 24-25; 752:2, 5, 14, 20 plugs.. [1] - 751:14 point [7] - 740:9; 756:19; 760:20; 765:1; 766:2, 16; 767:15 pointed [1] - 757:11 points [1] - 768:1 pop [1] - 766:14 position [5] - 742:22; 751:20; 762:22, 25; 770:20 possible [1] - 729:21 possibly [1] - 730:5 precisely [1] - 772:16 preciude [4] - 774:7, 12, 19; 775:1

precluded [1] - 775:23 precluding [1] - 775:16 prejudice [1] - 750:5 prejudicial [2] - 768:3; 777-14 preliminarily [1] - 729:22 **preparation** [1] - 758:8 prepare [2] - 754:9; 768:5 prepared [4] - 729:18; 766:3; 767:16; 777:15 preposterous [1] -740:11 present [2] - 729:7; 740:13 presented [3] - 735:9; 737:23 presenting [2] - 733:24; 743:14 pressures [1] - 742:20 previous [1] - 733:3 prison [1] - 739:11 probative [5] - 736:17; 751:21; 755:12; 756:13; 771:7 problem [3] - 743:18; 768:25: 771:3 proceed [2] - 750:17; 755:22 proceedings [2] - 733:4; 772:11 proceeds [2] - 733:19; 739:24 produced [2] - 768:23 product [1] - 741:22 products [2] - 741:21 proffer [5] - 729:15; 740:2; 763:5; 766:11; 770:19 proffering [1] - 768:11 proffers [1] - 768:8 proof [7] - 729:18; 730:4; 739:25; 749:24; 752:11; 759:8; 761:22 proposal [1] - 761:19 prosecution [4] - 772:21; 773:13: 776:2: 777:2 prosecutor [1] - 747:15 prove [18] - 734:22; 741:14; 743:19, 24; 749:3; 750:11; 752:3, 7, 17, 22, 24; 753:1, 9; 759:6; 771:25; 774:13; proven [1] - 771:24 proves [5] - 747:2; 755:7; 772:1.3 provide [1] - 733:25 province [1] - 776:1

proving [3] - 739:23;

purchase [1] - 761:12

purchased [2] - 752:20;

purportedly [1] - 737:11

purpose [3] - 747:6; 767:6;

purports [1] - 732:20

put [4] - 741:23; 742:1;

751:18: 760:7

771:3

743:25; 749:11

public [1] - 734:7

771:3 749:5

putting [1] - 759:8 puzzied [1] - 750:1

Q

quarter [1] - 760:1 questioning [2] - 776:21 questions [6] - 729:21; 730:8; 740:7; 746:13, 18 quite [4] - 742:17; 750:25; 751:1: 775:25

responsible [1] - 747:14 rested [1] - 774:24 result [1] - 765:11 R revert [1] - 776:2 reviewed [1] - 757:15 raised [1] - 729:11 Ricardo [1] - 746:8 rate [1] - 751:25 rich (11 - 753:5 reader [1] - 741:2 right-hand [3] - 731:1; reading [2] - 729:13; 738:2; 763:2 756:23 rights [1] - 778:1 real [3] - 742:9; 749:11; role [4] - 772:19, 22; 773:1, really [4] - 740:25; 748:7; roles [2] - 773:8, 22 755:13; 767:15 roughly [1] - 760:14 reasons [1] - 766:11 Rovira [36] - 731:2, 9; rebut [3] - 741:23; 747:3; 738:2, 11, 17; 739:1; 740:8; 746:3, 7; 747:22; 748:1, 20; 750:22; 751:3; 753:18; receive [1] - 738:5 754:8, 19; 755:2; 757:11, received [9] - 743:6; 745:1, 17-18, 24; 762:8, 17, 19, 24; 10, 19; 747:6, 11; 754:23 763:6, 18, 22; 764:3, 18; receiving [1] - 751:5 765:19 recipient [1] - 752:18 Rovira's [4] - 748:11; 755:2; 762:21; 763:18 record [9] - 735:3; 769:3; 770:12; 775:5, 22; 776:12, Rule [2] - 742:13; 776:9 15; 778:20 ruled [1] - 777:5 records [1] - 735:2 rules [2] - 742:21; 769:22 references [1] - 732:15 ruling [8] - 738:24; 740:3; referring [2] - 745:6; 769:4 749:25; 763:11, 14; 764:23; reflect [1] - 769:3 766:10 rulings [2] - 753:22; 778:1 reflected [1] - 749:13 run [1] - 778:6 regard [1] - 731:22 running [1] - 746:20 regarding [1] - 775:7 regardless [1] - 740:5 regularly [1] - 735:2 relate [1] - 774:20 relationship [1] - 777:10 relaved [1] - 761:4 relevance [2] - 756:13; relevant [10] - 736:17;

739:18; 749:3, 5; 771:23;

773:7, 21; 774:12; 775:15

remote [3] - 742:2; 749:10;

report [2] - 756:23; 757:2

remains [1] - 774:14

repeat [1] - 773:9

reports [1] - 757:20

representation [1] -

representative [1] -

request [3] - 767:14;

representing [3] - 754:15;

770:22

771:13

764:7: 769:8

769:24: 770:3

S

requested [1] - 769:16

requesting [3] - 767:9;

reserved [1] - 749:24

respect [5] - 736:23;

753:25; 761:14; 774:4

respects [1] - 732:19

response [2] - 742:21;

770:12

SA [2] - 772:10; 773:1 **salary** [1] - 752:23 sales [2] - 771:13 Salvador [1] - 746:8 saver [1] - 777:20 **saw** [2] - 754:9; 757:22 SBB [1] - 771:19 scheduled [1] - 755:19 scheme [1] - 765:24 school [1] - 734:18 scribbled [1] - 772:17 searching [1] - 733:2 seated [2] - 729:5; 735:13 SEC [1] - 735:12 second [3] - 745:22; 761:13; 768:25 securing [1] - 750:10 see [7] - 741:24; 742:4; 743:13; 746:23; 769:20; 774:8

seek [5] - 752:24; 754:5, 10; 763:11; 775:9 seem [1] - 738:24 sense [2] - 736:21; 749:22 sent [5] - 732:12; 733:5, 15; 768:6; 769:13 series [2] - 747:9; 749:2 servant [1] - 752:1 service [2] - 751:20; 752:22 session [1] - 764:17 set [1] - 735:8 several [1] - 735:9 several-page [1] - 735:9 **show** [6] - 736:17; 748:13; 760:8; 761:23; 767:16, 19 **showing** [1] - 735:23 **shows** [3] - 756:9; 775:18 **shuttled** [1] - 754:19 side [1] - 775:3 sides [1] - 777:25 sign [1] - 757:22 signatory [1] - 734:4 signature [4] - 737:19: 743:16; 755:4; 759:12 signed [6] - 743:8, 16; 756:21; 758:9; 762:15; 765:3 signify [1] - 741:2 signing [1] - 765:23 signs [2] - 732:11; 755:9 silent [1] - 742:17 similar [2] - 733:16; 740:15 similarity [2] - 736:21, 24 simple [1] - 750:3 simply [2] - 749:1; 772:4 SITRACEN [1] - 740:14 sitting [1] - 764:16 **skids** [1] - 764:3 sole [1] - 772:24 solely [1] - 773:17 **sometime** [1] - 751:1 son [1] - 745:7 son's [1] - 734:9 Sorry [6] - 735:14; 745:5; 757:1; 761:2, 13; 774:15 sort [1] - 777:6 Sorvill [70] - 731:5, 23, 25; 732;4, 15-16; 733;6, 11. 14-15, 22; 734:4; 736:5, 22, 24; 737:5, 8, 15, 18-19, 22; 738:3: 743:4. 7. 21: 744:12: 745:23, 25; 746:25; 748:22; 755:9, 11; 756:8, 10, 12; 757:16; 758:10, 13, 20, 24; 759:2, 8, 11, 16; 760:10; 761:12, 14; 763:17; 771:9, 12, 15, 22; 772:9; 773:1, 8, 17, 22; 774:8-10; 775:8, 13; 776:4.8 Sorvill's [1] - 732:12 Sosa [1] - 746:8 sounds [1] - 731:11 **source** [1] - 753:8

Sources [1] - 739:20

specifically [1] - 762:3

spreadsheet [2] - 745:10,

St [2] - 734:10, 24 stamped [1] - 769:5 stand [1] - 749:25 standing [1] - 735:15 started [1] - 764:10 starting [4] - 730:4; 768:6, statement [7] - 738:7, 10, 22-23, 25; 739:6; 769:7 States [7] - 732:18; 737:20; 739:21; 741:22; 748:7; 752:6, 21 States' [1] - 739:25 step [1] - 772:12 Steve [1] - 746:17 **still** [3] - 754:4; 763:13; 771:6 stood [1] - 764:21 **stop** [1] - 765:19 story [2] - 740:6; 741:13 straight [2] - 736:25; 758:15 strict [1] - 749:6 struggle [1] - 777:22 subject [1] - 748:6 **substantive** [1] - 739:22 sudden [1] - 749:25 suggesting [1] - 772:4 suggests [2] - 757:21; 777:7 **summary** [1] - 744:23 superior[1] - 741:21 Superseding [3] - 734:21; 761:5, 11 **superseding** [1] - 761:10 support [1] - 736:2 suppose [1] - 753:5 supposed [1] - 748:20 surgery [3] - 751:6, 14; 752:5 surprised [1] - 745:22 suspicion [1] - 752:2 sustained [1] - 750:6 sustaining [1] - 777:9 Swiss [1] - 761:15 Switzerland [1] - 771:14 sworn [1] - 730:22

T

target [1] - 748:6

team [1] - 742:16

terms [3] - 735:1; 762:3; 765:11 testified [5] - 740:17; 761:25; 762:18; 772:18; 773:11 testify [31] - 731:1; 737:5, 17, 21; 738:1; 742:12; 743:4, 24; 744:14, 22; 745:18, 21, 24; 746:6; 748:18; 750:19, 21; 751:2; 752:4; 753:17; 754:21; 756:11; 757:23; 758:1; 763:1, 19; 765:2; 769:18; 777:15 testifying [4] - 744:7;

771:19; 772:7; 773:16 testimony [22] - 730:13, 22: 731:22: 736:18: 744:1. 21; 753:14; 762:6, 21, 24; 764:18: 767:12: 768:2: 772:22, 24; 773:4, 6-7, 14, 20-21; 774:19 THE [177] - 729:4, 20; 730:1,

12, 16, 21; 731:8, 11, 15, 20, 24; 732:3, 19, 21, 24; 733:2, 8, 11, 17, 20; 734:2, 5, 11, 13, 17, 22; 735:4, 8, 13, 17, 20; 736:5, 8, 10, 13, 16; 737:3, 7, 13, 22; 738:6, 9, 13, 16, 24; 739:6, 9, 14, 17; 740:1, 19, 21, 24; 741:12, 24; 742:15; 743:2, 11, 13; 744:5, 10, 12, 17; 745:4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17; 746:1, 5, 10, 14, 20; 747:2, 12, 17, 25; 748:3, 9, 13, 23; 749:3, 9; 750:17: 751:9: 752:7, 11, 15: 753:1, 5, 12, 22, 24: 754:1, 3. 13. 24: 755:7. 13. 17. 21. 25; 756:2, 5, 7, 9, 17, 25: 757:2, 5, 7, 10: 758:1, 6, 21: 759:18, 21; 760:9, 12, 16, 22: 761:6, 8, 17: 762:5, 9, 12, 16; 763:5, 14, 20, 24; 764:6, 10, 16, 24; 765:8, 10, 16; 766:9; 767:8, 19, 24; 768:9, 13, 17, 19; 769:3, 7, 19, 24; 770:2, 7, 10, 15, 25; 771:10, 24; 772:9, 14; 774:7, 11, 16, 22; 775;12, 22; 776:6, 13, 15, 17; 777:1, 11; 778:7, 11, 14, 18

theory [1] - 736:16 thereafter [1] - 760:4 therefore [1] - 752:1

they've [1] - 777:9 throughout [3] - 742:16; 762:2, 25

throw [1] - 776:8 THURSDAY [1] - 729:1

timely [1] - 776:9 today [1] - 737:20

together[1] - 756:15 took [5] - 755:5; 756:24;

758:22; 764:1, 8 Torres [1] - 746:8

totally [2] - 740:4; 745:4 trade [1] - 733:14

transaction [2] - 734:17; 737:15

transcript [1] - 764:17 transfer [12] - 732:11, 14, 16: 733:23: 734:9: 735:23: 758:17, 19-20; 759:25; 761:12

transferred [2] - 732:9; 734.6

transfers [16] - 732:7; 736:1; 758:17; 759:7, 10, 14-15, 21, 25; 760:5, 9, 19; 761:1, 14; 766:19

transformation [1] -751:13

trial [4] - 755:18, 20, 22; 763:25

tried [1] - 750:2 triggering [1] - 752:2

true [4] - 741:3; 750:8;

762:10

truth [1] - 752:21

try [5] - 730:6; 734:22; 742:5; 771:25; 775:12

trvina [7] - 739:15: 741:10: 743:18; 764:11, 16; 769:21; 775:1

two [23] - 731:5; 732:8; 735:25; 739:19; 740:18; 742:25; 744:8; 747:7; 756:15; 758:11, 13, 17-18; 759:10, 13, 15, 21, 25; 760:4, 10; 762:13; 778:5

U

754:24; 755:17; 756:11, 20; 757:5-7, 21: 762:6, 16: 764:7, 12; 765:2, 6; 766:1; 767:12: 769:1. 8: 772:18: 774:20; 776:22; 777:2, 8-9; 778:17

witnesses [1] - 742:1 words [1] - 772:16 world [1] - 749:11 WOTTY [1] - 776:21

writing [1] - 730:6 wrote [1] - 757:15

type [1] - 776:2

U.S [1] - 742:12 uncle [1] - 753:5 under [6] - 735:1; 738:25; 741:18; 742:11; 750:7

understood [1] - 743:9 unindicted [2] - 731:17; 756:3

United [8] - 732:17; 737:20; 739:21, 25; 741:22; 748:7; 752:6, 21

unpersuasive [1] - 740:4 unusual [1] - 742:22

up [10] - 730:2; 745:12; 753:18; 755:3; 764:16; 765:24; 766:14; 769:17; 774:8: 776:23

uphold [1] - 778:1 utterly [2] - 742:25; 746:22

V

valid [1] - 750:6 value [1] - 756:13 various [1] - 762:25 vehicle [1] - 743:21 vesse] [1] - 743:22 views [1] - 742:17 visited [1] - 757:12 volunteer [1] - 777:13

W

waiving [1] - 770:13 warrant [1] - 753:19 week [1] - 749:14 weight [1] - 767:16 welcome [1] - 729:4 whatsoever [3] - 733:5; whining [1] - 776:1 white [1] - 739:12

wire [12] - 732:6, 11, 14-15; 733:23; 736:3; 759:10, 13, 15. 25: 760:4

wires [1] - 732:8

Wisconsin [2] - 734:10, 18 witness [37] - 729:23; 730:9, 13; 731:15; 736:18;

740:7: 743:14: 746:19: 747:25; 748:3; 750:23; yacht [3] - 759:6, 9; 761:12 year [1] - 749:14 years [2] - 739:1; 751:17 yesterday [12] - 730:2; 731:2: 740:18; 750:2, 24; 761:25; 762:17, 24; 773:12;

Υ

776:21; 777:13 yesterday's [3] - 764:17; 773:4, 20

Ζ

zero [2] - 776:4; 777:23 zeros [1] - 769:5